R-14-01

Evolution of pH in SFR 1

Peter Cronstrand, Westinghouse Nuclear Sweden AB

May 2014

Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co

Box 250, SE-101 24 Stockholm Phone +46 8 459 84 00



ISSN 1402-3091 SKB R-14-01 ID 1394973

Evolution of pH in SFR 1

Peter Cronstrand, Westinghouse Nuclear Sweden AB

May 2014

This report concerns a study which was conducted for SKB. The conclusions and viewpoints presented in the report are those of the author. SKB may draw modified conclusions, based on additional literature sources and/or expert opinions.

A pdf version of this document can be downloaded from www.skb.se.

Contents

1	Backgi	ound and objective	5
2	Metho	dology	7
2.1	Scope of	of analysis	7
2.2	Compu	ter program and thermodynamic database	7
3	Input o	lata	9
3.1	Void vo	blumes	9
	3.1.1	Silo	9
	3.1.2	1 BMA	9
	3.1.3		10
	5.1.4 2.1.5		10
	316	I DLA Summary of assumed void volumes	10
32	Flow d	ata	10
3.3	Compo	sition of infiltrating water	12
3.4	Waste o	categories	12
3.5	Cemen	t and concrete	14
3.6	Ashes		16
3.7	Evapor	ator	16
3.8	Ion exc	hange resins	17
3.9	Metals		17
3.10	Cellulo	se	18
3.11 3.12	Initial C Modeli	regreases	19
5.12	widuen	ing cases	19
4	Summ	ary of results	21
4.1	I BLA		21
4.2			22
4.5 4.4	2 DTF Silo		23
4.5	1 BMA	- Compartment with cement stabilized waste	26
4.6	1 BMA	- Compartment with bitumenized waste	28
5	Conclu	isions	31
5.1	Uncerta	ainties	32
	5.1.1	Conceptual uncertainties	32
	5.1.2	Uncertainties of input data	32
References			33
Appe	ndix 1	Log k values for ion exchange resins	35
Appe	ndix 2	Representation of CSH	37
Appe	ndix 3	Input files	39

1 Background and objective

The final repository for radioactive operational waste, SFR 1, is located in Forsmark in northern Uppland in the immediate vicinity of the Forsmark nuclear power plant, see Figure 1-1.

SFR 1 is built to receive, and after closure serve as a passive repository for low- and intermediatelevel radioactive waste. The disposal chambers are situated in rock beneath the sea floor.

The low- and intermediate-level waste in SFR 1 consists of operational waste from the Swedish nuclear power plants and from the interim storage facility for spent nuclear fuel, Clab, as well as similar radioactive waste from other industry, research institutions and medical care. Decommissioning waste is planned to be deposited in the extended SFR.

The various parts of the repository are designed to accommodate different types of containers and materials and to provide adequate protection depending on the activity levels present in different type of waste, see Figure 1-2. A more detailed description of the structure of SFR is given in SKB (2008).

One common material in SFR 1 is concrete either found in construction parts of the repository or as stabilisation matrix for some waste types in the 1 BTF, 1 BMA and the Silo repository parts. Radionuclides, as well as other elements, might sorb upon minerals included in the concrete hence the migration of these element will be affected by the availability of sorption sites. The speciation and sorption of radionuclides are strongly influenced by the pH. The purpose of the report is to evaluate the overall pH evolution in the repository parts in SFR 1 from a simplified thermodynamic model, where the water transport through the repository mainly occurs through an advective flow.

Within the long term safety assessment for SFR K_d -values are selected for different concrete degradation stages, represented as different pH-values that affect the speciation and sorption of the analyzed radionuclides.



Figure 1-1. Overview of the surface part of the facility at the harbour in Forsmark.



Figure 1-2. The different waste containers used to encapsulate the waste in SFR 1.

2 Methodology

2.1 Scope of analysis

The water flow through the different parts of the repository is conceptualized as pore water exchanges of a mixed tank representation of the part. The advective flow infiltrates an averaged waste volume and all the solid material assumes thereby to be in contact and in equilibrium with the infiltrating water. Hence, each flow step results in a complete pore water exchange for the repository part. No kinetic constraints are assumed concerning the dissolution of evaporator concentrate or cementitous minerals, hence the pH evolution is assumed to be entirely governed by thermodynamics and the flow rates.

For 1 BLA, 1 BTF, 2 BTF one single combined waste and wall volume will be assumed, whereas for the Silo and 1 BMA two separate cells representing the wall and waste volume will be employed. Because of the strong physical separation between compartments in 1 BMA and the significant variation in waste content, two different models will be applied for 1 BMA; one generic compartment containing ion exchange resins stabilized with cement and one compartment where the ion exchange resin are stabilized with bitumen. The geometrical resolution of the repository is intentionally extremely coarse in order to provide conservative global averages of each repository part. The variation of input data will be confined to the composition of the infiltrating water and the waste composition in terms of the state of the ion exchange resins and the influence from degradation products from cellulose (iso-saccharinic acid, ISA). Other parameters with a presumed strong influence on the pH evolution, such as flow rates, content of cement have not been varied.

2.2 Computer program and thermodynamic database

All reactive-transport simulations were performed with PHREEQC 2.17 (Parkhurst and Appelo 1999) and BRGMs (Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières) thermodynamic database Thermoddem (Blanc et al. 2007). The pH evolution has been evaluated at the same temperature as the thermodynamic properties in the database, *i.e.* 25°C.

3 Input data

3.1 Void volumes

The available void volume in each repository part determines the time required for completing a full pore water exchange given a fixed inflow of water. In addition to the larger intra- and inter-package void volumes, cement and concrete used as backfill or as construction concrete will contribute with void volumes in terms of the materials fine pore structure.

3.1.1 Silo

The total void volume for the Silo is evaluated from

- the void volume of the waste matrixes,
- pore volume from packaging, *i.e.* pores of the concrete,
- pore volume from backfill, *i.e.* pores of the concrete,
- pore volume from the walls of the Silo, *i.e.* pores of the construction concrete.

Values for the void and pore volumes have been assessed according to Keith-Roach et al. (2014), see Table 3-1.

Thus, the total void volume for the Silo is assumed to be $9.2E+3 m^3$.

3.1.2 1 BMA

The total void volume for 1 BMA is evaluated from

- the void volume of the waste matrixes,
- pore volume from packaging, *i.e.* pores of the concrete,
- void volume between packages,
- pore volume from the walls of the 1 BMA compartment, *i.e.* pores of the construction concrete.

Thus, the total volumes for the compartment 1 and 3 are evaluated to $5.4E+3 \text{ m}^3$ and $4.8E+2 \text{ m}^3$ respectively, see Table 3-2.

Table 3-1. Void and pore volumes inside the Silo according to Keith-Roach et al. (2014).

In all waste packages, conditioning and packaging (m³)	In the construction concrete (m ³)	In the backfill or void around the packages (m³)	Total void volume (m ³)
5.3E+3	1.4E+3	2.5E+3	9.2E+3

Table 3-2. Vo	oid and pore volum	es in compartmer	t 1 and 3 of the 1	BMA (Keith-Road	h et al. 2014)
	na ana pore volum	comparance			, ii ci ui. 2014)

Compartment	In all waste packages, conditioning and packaging (m³)	In the construction concrete (m ³)	In the backfill or void around the packages (m³)	Total void volume (m ³)
1	4.3E+2	4.4E+1	7.0E+1	5.4E+2
3	2.1E+2	4.4E+1	2.2E+2	4.8E+2

3.1.3 1 BTF

The total void for 1 BTF is evaluated from

- the void volume of the waste matrixes,
- pore volume from packaging, *i.e.* pores of the concrete,
- void volume between packages.

Thus, the total void volume for the 1 BTF is assumed to be 2.7E+3 m³, see Table 3-3.

3.1.4 2 BTF

The total void for 2 BTF is evaluated from

- the void volume of the waste matrixes,
- pore volume from packaging, *i.e.* pores of the concrete,
- void volume between packages.

Thus, the total void volume for the 2 BTF is assumed to be 9.6E+3 m³, see Table 3-4.

3.1.5 1 BLA

The total void for 1 BLA is evaluated from

- the void volume of the waste matrixes,
- void volume between packages, i.e. difference between the excavated volume and the storage capacity.

The void volumes in waste packages have been assessed by SKB $2013a^1$ to 4.0E+3 m³. The void between the waste packages have been evaluated as the difference between the excavated volume and the storage capacity as given in SKB (2001), *i.e.* 27E+3 m³-15.1E+3 m³= 11.9E+3 m³.

Thus, the total void volume for the 1 BLA is assumed to be 15.9E+3 m³, see Table 3-5.

3.1.6 Summary of assumed void volumes

The assumed void and pore volumes is summarized in Table 3-6 along with total volumes as evaluated from Keith-Roach et al. (2014).

Table 3-3. Void and pore volumes inside 1 BTF.

In all waste packages, conditioning and packaging (m ³)	In the backfill or void around the packages (m ³)	Total void volume (m ³)
1.2E+3	1.5E+3	2.7E+3

Table 3-4. Void and pore volumes inside 2 BTF according to Keith-Roach et al. (2014).

In all waste packages, conditioning and packaging (m ³)	In the backfill or void around the packages (m³)	Total void volume (m ³)
4.7E+3	4.9E+3	9.6E+3

Table 3-5. Void and pore volumes of 1 BLA (from SKB 2013a² and Keith-Roach et al. (2014)).

In all waste packages (m³)	In the backfill or void around the packages (m³)	Total void volume (m ³)	
4.0E+3	11.9E+3	15.9E+3	

¹ Values taken from an earlier version of SKB 2013a. In this report the values from the earlier version has been used. The conclusions drawn are judged not to be affected.

² Values taken from an earlier version of SKB 2013a. In this report the values from the earlier version has been used. The conclusions drawn are judged not to be affected.

Table 3-6. The amount of concrete and cement, the associated void volume, the total void volume and the corresponding global porosity. Total volumes evaluated from Keith-Roach et al. (2014).

	Silo	1 BMA compart- ment 3 (Bitumen)	1 BMA compart- ment 1 (Cement)	1 BTF	2 BTF	1 BLA
In all waste packages (m ³)	5.3E+3	2.1E+2	4.3E+2	1.5E+3	4.7E+3	4.0E+3
Backfill or around the packages (m ³)	2.5E+3	2.2E+2	7.0E+1	1.2E+3	4.9E+3	11.9E+3
Construction concrete (m ³)	1.4E+3	4.8E+2	5.4E+2	-	-	_
Total void volume (m ³)	9.2E+3	4.4E+1	4.4E+1	2.7E+3	9.6E+3	15.9E+3
Total volume (m ³)	47.5E+03	1.07E+03	1.07E+03	2.00E+04	20.0E+03	27.0E+03
Global porosity	0.19	0.45	0.51	0.14	0.48	0.59

3.2 Flow data

The flow rates through different parts of the repository, the global voids and the corresponding years for completing a pore water exchange are summarized in Table 3-7. The number of years for completing a pore water exchange is evaluated as the time required filling the available pore volume (see Table 3-6) considering the assumed flow rate. As seen in Table 3-7, there is a substantial difference in terms of the number of pore water exchanges completed within the postulated 100,000 years between different repository parts, from 74 for the Silo to approximately 3,300 for 1 BLA.

The time scale associated with each pore water exchange is derived from the number of years to complete one pore water exchange in Table 3-7. For an example (see also Table 3-8), for 1 BLA no complete pore water exchange is performed during the interval 0–2,000 post closure, whereas during the subsequent 1,000 years a pore water exchange is completed every 264th year. For the remaining period of time each pore water exchange takes 117 years.

Table 3-7. Flow rates for the first 5,000 years after closure (SKB 2013b). The subsequent flow rates from year 5,000 are assumed to be the same as during the period 3,000–5,000 post closure. The notaion C1 and C3 denotes the compartment 1 and 3 respectively.

		0–2,000	2,000–3,000	3,000–5,000	0–100,000
1 BMA C1	Flow rate (m ³ /y) Flow rate/compartment (m ³ /y) Void/compartment (m ³) No. years for a complete pore exchange No. pore exchanges within the specified time interval	7.83E–03 6.02E–04 5.4E+02 8.97E+05 0.0	1.41E+00 1.08E-01 5.4E+02 5.00E+03 0.2	3.62E+00 2.79E-01 5.4E+02 1.94E+03 1.03	50.3
1 BMA C3	Flow rate (m³/y) Flow rate/compartment (m³/y)	7.83E–03 6.02E–04	1.41E+00 1.08E–01	3.62E+00 2.79E–01	
	Void/compartment (m ³) No. years for a complete pore exchange No. pore exchanges within the specified time interval	4.8E+02 7.97E+05 0.0	4.8E+02 4.44E+03 0.2	4.8E+02 1.72E+03 1.2	56.5
1 BLA	Flow rate (m³/y) Void (m³) No. years for a complete pore exchange No. pore exchanges within the specified time interval	1.20E–01 1.59E+04 1.32E+05 0.02	6.02E+01 1.59E+04 2.64E+02 3.8	1.36E+02 1.59E+04 1.17E+02 17.18	834.0
1 BTF	Flow rate (m³/y) Void (m³) No. years for a complete pore exchange No. pore exchanges within the specified time interval	9.67E–03 2.70E+03 2.79E+05 0.01	1.84E+00 2.70E+03 1.46E+03 0.68	3.80E+00 2.70E+03 7.11E+02 2.81	137.1
2 BTF	Flow rate (m³/y) Void (m³) No. years for a complete pore exchange No. pore exchanges within the specified time interval	9.44E-03 9.60E+03 1.02E+06 0.00	3.19E+00 9.60E+03 3.01E+03 0.3	6.18E+00 9.60E+03 1.55E+03 1.3	62.7
Silo	Flow rate (m³/y) Void (m³) No. years for a complete pore exchange No. pore exchanges within the specified time interval	4.68E–03 9.20E+03 1.96E+06 0.00	7.11E-01 9.20E+03 1.29E+04 0.08	1.41E+00 9.20E+03 6.52E+03 0.3	15.0

Table 3-8. Example of the derivation of the time scale for 1 BLA.

No. of pore water exchanges	Time (years)
0	0
1	2,264
2	2,528
3	2,792
4	3,056
5	3,173
6	3,407
7	3,758

3.3 Composition of infiltrating water

The composition of the infiltrating water will evolve due to land lift. In terms of salinity, the saline water and the glacial derived water in Table 3-9, represents two extreme water types and the pH determined with these waters is expected to embrace the possible variations derived from other intermediate water compositions. The temperate-periglacial water represents the most probable water composition during the postulated period of time (100,000 years).

3.4 Waste categories

The composition between the different waste categories are summarized in Table 3-10 from SKB 2013a³. The thermodynamic representation of each waste category is further described in Section 3-4 to 3-8.

The waste categories which are assumed to dissolve or affect the pH evolution through ion exchange are;

- Aluminium/zinc.
- Ashes.
- Cellulose.
- Cement.
- Concrete.
- Evaporator concentrate.
- Ion exchange resin.
- Iron/Steel.

Element	Saline groundwater (mol/kgw)	Temperate-periglacial water (mol/kgw)	Glacial derived water (mol/kgw)
с	1.48E–3	4.92E–3	6.40E–4
Са	1.50E–2	1.25E–3	2.96E-4
CI	9.87E-2	5.36E–3	8.19E–6
К	5.12E–4	1.28E-4	1.65E–5
Mg	6.17E–3	4.94E4	1.04E–6
Na	6.53E–2	7.83E–3	4.35E–6
S	3.64E–3	5.21E-4	1.25E–5
Si	1.83E–4	2.00E-4	2.13E-4

Table 3-9. Compositions of the waters assumed to infiltrate the repositories (Auqué et al. 2013).

³ Values taken from an earlier version of SKB 2013a. In this report the values from the earlier version has been used. The conclusions drawn are judged not to be affected.

	Silo	1 BMA	1 BTF	2 BTF	1 BLA
Aluminium/Zinc (kg)	9.17E+03	9.20E+03	5.56E+04	0.00E+00	6.06E+04
Aluminium/Zinc (m ²)	1.36E+03	1.14E+03	1.54E+04	0.00E+00	8.59E+03
Asphalt, gravel, soil (kg)	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Ashes (kg)	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	5.43E+05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Concrete (kg)	1.21E+07	1.02E+07	6.74E+06	8.04E+06	9.59E+04
Bitumen (kg)	9.33E+05	1.48E+06	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.18E+05
Cellulose (kg)	2.43E+04	1.22E+05	1.62E+03	0.00E+00	2.89E+05
Cement (kg)	1.15E+07	4.81E+06	2.37E+05	0.00E+00	2.22E+05
Evaporator concentrate (kg)	0.00E+00	3.40E+05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.70E+02
lon exchange resin (kg)	3.02E+06	1.73E+06	4.50E+05	8.31E+05	9.74E+04
Iron/steel (kg)	4.59E+06	3.08E+06	1.36E+06	1.82E+06	3.49E+06
Iron/steel (m ²)	2.19E+05	1.35E+05	1.08E+05	1.20E+05	2.09E+05
Sand (kg)	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Filter supplies (kg)	1.01E+04	5.07E+04	7.28E+04	1.31E+05	0.00E+00
Sludge (kg)	3.53E+04	2.01E+05	2.59E+04	4.46E+04	7.25E+02
Misc. Inorganic (kg)	8.48E+05	6.63E+04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.79E+05
Misc.organic (kg)	5.09E+04	2.69E+05	4.90E+04	8.63E+04	1.40E+06

Table 3-10. Content of various components identified as having a potential impact in the pH evolution in the different parts of SFR data taken from SKB 2013a⁴.

The distribution between aforementioned waste categories varies between repository parts. From Figure 3-1 it is evident that cement and concrete has the major impact on the pH evolution for all repository parts, except for 1 BLA, due to its abundance and highly alkaline character. In particular for the Silo and 1 BMA the additional buffering effect from the substantial amount of cement ensures a long – term buffering capacity.

The amount of cement and concrete (in wt. %) for each repository part is given in Table 3-11.



Figure 3-1. The distribution (mass %) between waste categories for each repository part. Waste categories with an assumed impact on the pH evolution marked with bold text.

⁴ Values taken from an earlier version of SKB 2013a. In this report the values from the earlier version has been used. The conclusions drawn are judged not to be affected.

Table 3-11. The fraction of cement and concrete in each repository part relative the total amount of deposited waste.

	Silo	1 BMA	1 BTF	2 BTF	1 BLA
Wt. % cement and concrete	71	67	73	73	5

Table 3-12.	The cement and	concrete content in	different com	partments in '	1 BMA and the Silo.

Repository part	In all waste conditioning	In all waste packaging	In all construction concrete	Total
1 BMA compartment 1 (kg)	8.1E+05	2.50E+04	1.50E+05	1.20E+06
1 BMA compartment 3 (kg)	2.00E+05	6.40E+04	1.50E+05	4.10E+05
Silo (kg)	1.30E+07	2.60E+06	4.70E+06	2.90E+07

For 1 BMA the content of cement and concrete will vary between the compartments. According to Keith-Roach et al. (2014) the compartment 1 and 3 represent typical compartments containing cement- and bitumen stabilized waste. The corresponding masses of cement for compartment 1 and 3 and the Silo considered as a mixed tank are summarized in Table 3-12.

3.5 Cement and concrete

The chemical representation of cement and concrete is in principle the same as in Cronstrand (2007) and based on the available mineral phases in the selected database, see Table 3-13. The Calcium Silica Hydrate (CSH) have been represented by three distinct mineral phases, CSH_1.6, CSH_1.2 and CSH_0.8, with decreasing Ca/Si ratios in order to reflect the well documented incongruent dissolution (Berner 1988, Gartner and Jennings 1987). Although a solid solution representation will result in a less discontinuous and stepwise dissolution curve, the actual pH evolution will be fairly invariant to the selected representation. The deviation between results obtained with different representations of CSH is further assessed in Appendix 2.

In contrast to cement, concrete contains ballast, which reduces its buffer capacity. The ballast is represented by quartz which is assumed to dissolve according to the rate equations in Rimstidt and Barnes (1980). The assumed mineral distribution for 1 kg concrete and 1 kg cement respectively is given in Table 3-14 and Table 3-15.

Phase	Formula	M (g/mol)
CSH	Ca _{1.60} SiO _{3.6} :2.58H ₂ O	196.3
Portlandite	Ca(OH) ₂	74.1
Ettringite	Ca ₆ Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ (OH) ₁₂ :26H ₂ O	1,255.1
C3AH6	Ca ₃ Al ₂ (OH) ₁₂	378.3
Hydrotalcite	$Mg_4Al_2O_7$:10 H_2O	453.4
Magnetite	Fe ₃ O ₄	231.5
Quartz	SiO ₂	60.1

Table 3-13. Initial mineral phases representing cement and concrete.

Table 3-14	. Mineral phase	s representing	1	kg of	f concrete
------------	-----------------	----------------	---	-------	------------

Phase	n (mol)	(g)
CSH	0.446	87.5
Portlandite	0.431	32.0
Ettringite	0.010	12.6
C3AH6	0.028	10.5
Hydrotalcite	0.004	1.8
Magnetite	0.021	4.9
Ballast (Quartz)	16.642	850.7

Table 3-15.	Mineral	phases	representin	g 1	kg	of	cement.
-------------	---------	--------	-------------	-----	----	----	---------

Phase	n (mol)	(g)	
CSH	2.986	586.1	
Portlandite	2.890	214.1	
Ettringite	0.067	84.3	
C3AH6	0.186	70.3	
Hydrotalcite	0.027	12.1	
Magnetite	0.143	33.1	

The typical mineral evolution and the corresponding pH evolution for cement are depicted in Figure 3-2. The distinction between different discrete CSH phases is clearly artificial, but will still give an approximate value for the Ca/Si ratio corresponding to the stated pH.

The pH controlling mineral phase for each pH plateau is summarized in Table 3-16. Portlandite controls the pH at 12.5, whereas during the decalcification of CSH pH typically is decreasing in the interval 12-11. After the dissolution of CSH, pH drops to levels below 10, supported by remaining cement specific minerals such as hydrotalcite or precipitated hydroxides as Gibbsite.



Figure 3-2. The mineral and pH evolution of 1 kg cement when equilibrated with 1kg water. Pore water exchanges here denote the number of exchanges of 1 kg demineralised water.

Table 3-16. The pH and corresponding pH regulating mineral phase.

рН	pH regulating mineral phase
12.5	Portlandite
12.0	CSH_1.6
11.7	CSH_1.2
11.0	CSH_0.8

3.6 Ashes

The ashes deposited in SFR 1 refer primarily to the waste type S.13, which is not further specified in a mineralogical point of view. In the context of estimating the pH stabilizing effect of ashes however it is sufficient to assume general mineralogical distribution, see Table 3-17, since the total amounts are significantly lower than concrete and cement.

The oxides in the ashes will hydrate when in contact with water in a similar manner as cement and result in a high pH solution. However, the distribution between primarily CaO and SiO_2 is different from the distribution in cement and will result in a quite different distribution between the mineral phases in the hydrated solid material.

Ashes only occur in repository part 1 BTF.

Table 3-17. Mineral phases representing 1 kg of ashes (evaluated from Misra et al. 1993).

Phase	n (mol)	m (g)
CaO	7.50	420
SiO ₂	5.83	350
AI_2O_3	1.18	120
Na ₂ O	0.081	5
K ₂ O	0.053	5
MgO	2.48	100

3.7 Evaporator

The dominating elements in evaporator concentrate are Na and Cl, with some additional K, sulphates and carbonates. Evaporator concentrate is assumed to be represented by the mineral phases given in Table 3-18. No kinetic constraints are associated with their dissolution. Additional components expected in evaporator concentrate, as iron/rust, sand and oils have not been included.

Table 3-18. Mineral phases representing 1 kg evaporator concentrate.

Phase	Formula	n (mol)	m (g)	
Halite	NaCl	10.27	600	
Sylvite	KCI	0.54	40	
Thenardite	Na_2SO_4	1.13	160	
Na ₂ CO ₃	Na_2CO_3	1.89	200	

3.8 Ion exchange resins

Ion exchange resins occur in cementitious (as in R.01/02) or bitumenized wasteforms (as in F.17/18), but also in drained ion exchange resins as in B.07. The stabilization method will itself have an impact on the degradation of the resin and the residual ion exchange capacity and thereby also on the potential influence on pH evolution. The initial fraction of ion exchange resin with preserved ion exchange capacity is in principle an unknown property. Thus, in the assessment three extreme modeling cases will be assumed: one without any ion exchange resin at all and two where all deposited ion exchange resin have maintained its initial exchange capacity and loaded with either (Na⁺, Cl⁻) or (H⁺, OH⁻). The distribution between cationic and anionic exchange resin have been assumed to according to the ratio 2:1. If initially loaded with (Na⁺, Cl⁻) the initial pore water exchange will increase the pH due to the exchange between Na⁺ and H⁺. Conversely, an ion exchange resin initially loaded with (H⁺, OH⁻) will decrease the pH, due to the release of H⁺ when exchanging with Na⁺. In general, after a couple of exchanges the ion exchange resin will have the same composition, independent of initial loading and the impact on the pH evolution will be identical.

The cation exchange resin is represented by DOW 4% DVB and the anionic exchange resin by DOW Type I. The corresponding thermodynamic descriptions in terms of log k values are given in Appendix 1.

3.9 Metals

The corrosion of metals will release cations, which during their speciation and precipitation will influence the pH. The process will in general be kinetically controlled and often accelerated at low pH, decelerated at high pH. However, from a thermodynamic point of view, the corrosion process occurs instantaneously and can potentially increase the pH. In particular for repository parts with a low content of cement and high content of steel the pH may rise above realistic levels if steel is dissolved instantaneously. The general assumption concerning corrosion rate for steel in SFR is $0.05 \mu m/year$ (Rance et al. 2003), which implies that a 5 mm slab will completely corrode within 50,000 years when corroded from both sides. Thus, most compartments (see Table 3-7), will undergo several pore water exchanges before all available steel has been completely dissolved (assuming an average thickness of 5 mm). The linear corrosion rate of 0.05 μ m/year has been transferred to first order rate constants according to Table 3-19.

The corresponding corrosion rate for aluminum is 1 mm/year, which implies that any goods with thickness below 5 mm, will be completely dissolved within 25 years, *i.e.* before any pore water exchange have been completed. Thus, for the corrosion of aluminum no kinetic constraints have been applied and the dissolution is assumed to follow the thermodynamic equilibrium.

 Table 3-19. Steel content in repository parts and corresponding corrosion rate constants.

	BMA	BLA	1 BTF	2 BTF	Silo
Steel content (kg)	2.37E+05	3.49E+06	1.36E+06	1.82E+06	4.59E+06
Steel content (mol)	4.24E+06	6.25E+07	2.44E+07	3.26E+07	8.22E+07
Rate constant (1/s)	2.69E-06	3.96E-05	1.54E–05	2.07E-05	5.21E-05

3.10 Cellulose

The major end product of the degradation of cellulose in an alkaline environment is ISA isomers along with a fraction of small chain organic acids, such as formic, acetic, glycolic, pyruvic, glyceric, lactic, propionic (Van Loon and Glaus 1997, Glaus and Van Loon 2008 and Glaus et al. 1999).

The rate and mechanisms for alkaline degradation of cellulose have been the focus of numerous studies, see Van Loon and Glaus (1997) and Knill and Kennedy (2003) for a thorough review. A common observation is two separate reaction phases with distinct time scales. The primary peeling-off mechanism, resulting in the production of ISA and dissolved organic carbon (DOC), is well-characterized where the established rate constants have been elucidated by Glaus and Van Loon (2008).

The initial reaction phase typically reaches a plateau of stagnation within 2 years. The subsequent reaction phase is less well defined, both in terms of reaction mechanism as well as rate constants. Proposed candidates are mid-chain scission or decelerated peeling all of which feature a much slower progression than the initial peeling-off mechanism. Irrespective the chemical characterization of the actual mechanism, the two reaction phases can be combined in one single equation, see Equation 3-2, governing the degradation (Glaus and Van Loon 2008)

$$f = 1 + e^{-k_h t} \left[\frac{k_1}{k_t} G_r \left(1 - e^{-k_{tt}} \right) - 1 \right]$$
(3-2)

where *f* denotes the fraction degraded cellulose as a function of time and $k_h k_1$ and k_t are the reaction rate constants The proposed values for $k_h k_1$ and k_t according to Glaus and van Loon 2008 are summarized in Table 3-20.

The degradation rate according to Equation 3-1 and the kinetic parameters given in Table 3-9 is depicted in Figure 3-3.

Cellulose type	G,	k₁ (h⁻¹)	K _t (h⁻¹)	K _h (h ⁻¹)
Tissue	9.0E-4	(1.0±0.1)×10–2	(2.9±0.5)×10-4	(1.0±0.2)×10–7
Cotton	5.6E–4	(2.0±0.3)×10–3	(3.3±0.5)×10–4	(2.9±0.3)×10–8
Paper	3.5E–3	(4.3±1.3)×10–3	(4.3±1.5)×10–4	(1.6±0.5)×10–7





Figure 3-3. Degradation rate for cotton and paper according to Equation 3-1.

The deprotonation of ISA have been assumed according to the PCHatches database as:

 $H_4IsaH = H^+ + H_4Isa^-$

with the corresponding \log_k value of -10.

Estimations of the times for complete degradation of the cellulose present in SFR 1, here interpreted as 99.9%, based on reaction rate constants in Table 3-9, are displayed in Table 3-21.

All cellulose in the repository is in this report assumed to consist of paper in order to achieve the lowest pH as early as possible, which is deemed the most pessimistic approach.

The buffer capacity of the repository parts will prevail as long as the pH regulating phases (portlandite, CSH...) are not completely dissolved. Since ISA will dissolve and follow the pore water exchange, the contribution of H^+ from ISA will decrease exponentially with time. Thus, the pH impact from cellulose will be confined to the initial 5,000 years.

3.11 Initial composition of pore water

The initial composition of the pore water is assumed to be a mixture between pure concrete pore water (Jacques et al. 2010) and the infiltrating temperate-preglacial water (see Table 3-9) scaled to the fractional content of cement and concrete, *i.e.* for 1 BMA, 1 BTF, 2 BTF and the Silo the pore water is assumed to consist of 70% concrete pore water and 30% temperate-glacial water, whereas for 1 BLA the pore water is assumed to consist of 5% concrete pore water and 95% temperate-preglacial water (see Table 3-22).

3.12 Modeling cases

Except for 1 BMA and the Silo all modeling cases assumes one single volume representing the deposited waste and the surrounding walls together. For 1 BMA a compartment is addressed as two adjacent cells representing the concrete wall and the waste. The base cases do not include any pH effect from the degradation of cellulose or from exchange resins. The modeling base cases are summarized in Table 3-23.

Table 3-21. Estimated time for complete (99.9%) degradation.

	Paper	Cotton	Tissue
Time for complete degradation (years)	5,000	27,400	7,700

Table 3-22. The assumed initial composition of the pore water.

Element	Concrete pore water (M)	1 BMA, 1 BTF, 2 BTF, Silo (M)	1 BLA (M)
AI	1.38E–05	9.66E-06	6.90E-07
С	8.25E-05	1.53E–03	4.68E-03
Са	1.58E-03	1.48E–03	1.27E-03
CI		1.61E–03	5.09E-03
К	1.60E–01	1.12E–01	8.12E–03
Mg	1.93E–09	1.48E–04	4.69E-04
Na	6.82E-02	5.01E-02	1.09E-02
S	2.81E-04	3.53E–04	5.09E-04
Si	3.40E-05	8.38E-05	1.92E-04
pН	13.71	13.06	11.67
pН	13.71	13.06	11.67

Modeling case	Comment	No. of cells
1 BMA – cement	Concrete wall + generic compartment with cementitious waste	2
1 BMA – bitumen	Concrete wall + generic compartment with bitumenized waste	2
1 BLA	Mixed tank	1
BTFs	Mixed tank	1
Silo	Concrete wall + Mixed tank	2

Table 3-23. The models for the repository parts 1 BMA, 1 BLA, 1 BTF, 2 BTF and the Silo.

For each repository part a model parameter variation according to Table 3-24 were applied.

Table 3-24. The parameter variation within each repository part model.

Modeling case	Waste variation	Water composition
A	No cellulose or ion exchange resin	Temperate-periglacial
В	Cellulose degradation (ISA)	Temperate-periglacial
С	Corrosion of steel	Temperate-periglacial
D	Ion exchange resin (Na⁺, Cl⁻)	Temperate-periglacial
E	lon exchange resin (H⁺, OH⁻)	Temperate-periglacial
F	No cellulose, steel or ion exchange resin	Glacial water
G	No cellulose, steel or ion exchange resin	Saline water

4 Summary of results

4.1 1 BLA

The pH evolution for the short term (0-10,000 years) and long term (0-100,000 years) evolution in 1 BLA are shown in Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2. The pH starts to drop below 12 already at year 5,000 and within 10,000 years it is close to 9 for the base case, irrespective of the initial loading of the ion exchange resin (D and E). Apparently, the ion exchange resin does not have any significant influence on the pH evolution in 1 BLA.

The corrosion of steel seems to maintain the pH around 11.5 throughout the considered period of time. The inclusion of ISA on the other hand slightly lowers the pH compared to the base case. For the long term evolution the only parameters with the any significant influence on the pH evolution are the compositions of the infiltrating water and the corrosion of steel.



Figure 4-1. The short term pH evolution of 1 BLA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-2. The long term pH evolution of 1 BLA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).

4.2 1 BTF

The pH evolution for the short term (0–10,000 years) and long term (0–100,000 years) evolution in 1 BTF are shown in Figure 4-3 and Figure 4-4. The pH is predicted to be constant throughout the considered period of time. The inclusion of ion exchange resin lowers the initial pH with at maximum a half pH unit for an initial loading with H and OH. After the first pore water exchange the ion exchange resin will have the almost same loading as the ion exchange resin initially loaded with Na and Cl, and the subsequent evolution of pH will be identical for the two modelling cases. In general, the modelling cases with ion exchange resin can be expected to converge within a handful of pore water exchanges.



Figure 4-3. The short term pH evolution of 1 BTF (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-4. The long term pH evolution of 1 BTF (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, D = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, E = glacial water, F = saline water).

4.3 2 BTF

The pH evolution for the short term (0-10,000 years) and long term (0-100,000 years) evolution in 2 BTF are shown in Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2. The pH is predicted to constant throughout the considered period of time.



Figure 4-5. The short term pH evolution of 2 BTF (A = base case, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, <math>E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-6. The long term pH evolution of 2 BTF (A = base case, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, <math>E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).

4.4 Silo

The pH evolution for the short term (0-10,000 years) and long term (0-100,000 years) evolution in the Silo are shown in Figure 4-7 to Figure 4-10. The pH is predicted to be stable throughout the considered period of time for all modelling cases. The modelling cases with ion exchange resin will approach each other within a couple of pore water exchanges.

Also for the Silo wall, the pH will be controlled by portlandite and stabilized around 12.5.



Figure 4-7. The short term pH evolution of the waste in the Silo (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-8. The long term pH evolution of waste in the Silo (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-9. The short term pH evolution of walls of the Silo (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-10. The long term pH evolution of walls of the Silo (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).

4.5 1 BMA – Compartment with cement stabilized waste

The pH evolution for the short term (0-10,000 years) and long term (0-100,000 years) evolution for 1 BMA compartment containing cement stabilized waste are shown in Figure 4-11 to Figure 4-14. Similar to the Silo, the pH of the pore water in the waste domain is stabilized around 12.5 due to remaining portlandite.

For the 1 BMA wall on the other hand the pH stepwise decreases down to a level slightly below 12 at year 50,000 indicating that CSH will remain throughout the considered period of time.



Figure 4-11. The short term pH evolution of the waste in 1 BMA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-12. The short term pH evolution of the waste in 1 BMA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-13. The short term pH evolution of the walls of 1 BMA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-14. The long term pH evolution of the walls of 1 BMA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).

4.6 1 BMA – Compartment with bitumenized waste

The pH evolution for the short term (0-10,000 years) and long term (0-100,000 years) evolution for 1 BMA compartment containing bitumenized waste is shown in Figure 4-11 to Figure 4-14. Similar to compartment containing cement solidified waste, the pH stays above 12.0, indicating a significant amount of remaining portlandite.

The concrete walls surrounding the compartment containing bitumenized waste follows the pH evolution of the cement stabilized waste, maintained slightly below 12 throughout the considered period of time.



Figure 4-15. The short term pH evolution of the waste of 1 BMA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-16. The long term pH evolution of the waste of 1 BMA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-17. The short term pH evolution of the walls of 1 BMA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).



Figure 4-18. The long term pH evolution of the walls of 1 BMA (A = base case, B = cellulose degradation, C = steel corrosion, D = ion exchange resin loaded with Na and Cl, E = ion exchange loaded with H, OH, F = glacial water, G = saline water).

5 Conclusions

The overall conclusion is that for parts of the repository characterized by a high content of cement and concrete in combination with low flow rates, the pH is fairly constant throughout the considered period of time. The pH evolutions for the base case scenario for all repository parts are summarized in Figure 5-1 and Figure 5-2. With the exception of 1 BLA, the pH is predicted to maintain above 12 throughout the initial 10,000 years. The combination of higher flow rates and low content of cement and concrete leads to a more dramatic pH evolution for BLA than the other repository parts.



Figure 5-1. The short term pH evolution in different parts of the repository.



Figure 5-2. The long term pH evolution in different parts of the repository.

Even in the long term, the pH is maintained above 12 for all repository part, except 1 BLA. The initial differences before reaching the stable pH regulated by portlandite are results from differences in exchange times (due to differences in inflow and pore volumes), but also consequences of the influence of the adjacent concrete wall (for Silo and 1 BMA). Another reason for deviations in start values is the impact from corrosion of metals, which is difficult to predict. However, each repository part appears insensitive to any of the variations applied within the modelling cases. With the exception of BLA, the only variation with any significant impact on the predicted long term pH evolution is the composition of the infiltrating water.

The essential pH drops in each repository part are summarized in Table 5-1.

The Table 5-1, should be interpreted as follows. For 1 BMA compartment containing cement solidified waste the pH is initially (year 0) 13.0, drops to 12.5 at year 5,000 followed by additional pH drops at year 20,000 and 60,000. For 1 BLA, the pH is initially 12.5, drops to 12 at year 6,000, drops further down to 11.5 at year 7,000, drops down to 10.5 at year 7,200, pH 9 at year 9,000 and finally to 7.5 at year 19,000.

It is emphasized the method is a coarse and conservative method exclusively applied to provide the global average pH evolution. Substantial local deviations are expected due to the inhomogeneous character of the waste compartments, flow path restrictions etc. Nevertheless, in spite of the uncertainties associated with each set of input data, the results show a significant stability with respect to the variation of the input data as long as portlandire and CSH stabilizes the pH.

5.1 Uncertainties

5.1.1 Conceptual uncertainties

The most significant uncertainty is inherent to the method and the assumption of uniform flow patterns able to put all available solid material in equilibrium with the infiltrating water. Thus, the modeling approach assumes a global averaged repository part in complete equilibrium with the infiltrating water in each pore water exchange step. In reality, only a minor fraction of the waste will be equilibrated with the infiltrating water, due to flow path restrictions. Moreover, the waste domains will be inhomogeneous, with substantial pH variation within the repository parts. The mineralogical representation of the waste and the repository walls in terms of a confined set of mineral phases infers additional uncertainty, both from the selection of phases and the choice of database. The material with the major impact on the pH evolution, cement and concrete, are however well characterized in terms of thermodynamic stability. The uncertainties increase significantly once the mineral phase portlandite and CSH have dissolved.

5.1.2 Uncertainties of input data

Uncertainties of the input data relates primarily to the compositions of the initial pore water and infiltrating water, the waste categorization and the flow rates through the repository parts. The uncertainty of these parameters has however not been quantified in terms of numerical uncertainty intervals and no attempt to assess the uncertainty intervals have therefore been made.

Table 5-1. Summary of pH regimes for each repository parts.	Cells shaded with grey indicates
that no further changes are predicted during this time interva	ıl.

	Time (years)								
рН	1 BMA ·	- cement	1 BMA -	- Bitumen	1 BLA	1 BTF	2 BTF	Si	lo
	Waste	Wall	Waste	Wall				Waste	Wall
13.0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
12.5	5,000	4,000	5,000	6,000	0	2,000	5,000	24,000	32,000
12.0		20,000		20,000	6,000				
11.5		56,000		48,000	7,000				
10.5					7,200				
9.0					9,000				
7.5					19,000				

References

SKB's (Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB) publications can be found at www.skb.se/publications.

Auqué L F, Gimeno M, Acero P, Gómez J, 2013. Composition of groundwater for SFR and its extension, during different climatic cases, SR-PSU. SKB R-13-16, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.

Berner U R, 1988. Modeling the incongruent dissolution of hydrated cement minerals. Radiochimica Acta 44/45, 387–393.

Blanc P, Lassin A, Piantone P, 2007. THERMODDEM: A thermodynamic database devoted for modelling the alteration of waste minerals. Orléans: BRGM. Available at: http://thermoddem.brgm.fr

Cronstrand P, 2007. Modelling the long-time stability of the engineered barriers of SFR with respect to climate changes. SKB R-07-51, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.

Dow, n d. DOWEX[™] ion exchange resins: using ion exchange resin selectivity coefficients. Form No. 177-01755-0207, The Dow Chemical Company.

Gartner E M, Jennings H M, 1987. Thermodynamics of calcium silicate hydrates and their solutions. Journal of American Ceramic Society 70, 743–749.

Glaus M A, Van Loon L R, 2008. Degradation of cellulose under alkaline conditions: new insights from a 12 years degradation study. Environmental Science & Technology 42, 2906–2911.

Glaus M A, Van Loon L R, Achatz S, Chodura A, Fischer K, 1999. Degradation of cellulosic materials under the alkaline conditions of a cementitious repository for low and intermediate level radioactive waste. Part I: Identification of degradation products. Analytica Chimica Acta 398, 111–122.

Jacques D, Wang L, Martens E, Mallants D, 2010. Modelling chemical degradation of concrete during leaching with rain and soil water types. Cement and Concrete Research 40, 1306–1313.

Keith-Roach M, Lindgren M, Källström K, 2014. Revised assessment of complexing agents in SFR. SKB R-14-03, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.

Knill C J, Kennedy J F, 2003. Degradation of cellulose under alkaline conditions. Carbohydrate Polymers 51, 281–300.

Misra M K, Ragland K W, Baker A J, 1993. Wood ash composition as a function of furnace temperature. Biomass and Bioenergy 4, 103–116.

Parkhurst D L, Appelo C A J, 1999. User's guide to PHREEQC (version 2): a computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4259, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, CO.

Rance A P, Peat A P, Smart N R, 2003. Analysis of electrochemistry cells. SKB TR-04-01, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.

Rimstidt J D, Barnes H L, 1980. The kinetics of silica–water reactions. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 44, 1683–1699.

SKB, 2001. Project SAFE. Compilation of data for radionuclide transport analysis. SKB R-01-14, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.

SKB, 2008. Safety analysis SFR 1. Long-term safety. SKB R-08-130, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.

SKB, **2013a**. Låg- och medelaktivt avfall i SFR – Referensinventarium för avfall 2013. SKB R-13-37, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.

SKB, **2013b.** Flow modelling on the repository scale for the safety assessment SR-PSU. SKB TR-13-08, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB.

Van Loon L R, Glaus M A, 1997. Review of the kinetics of alkaline degradation of cellulose on view of its relevance for safety assessment of radioactive waste repositories. Journal of Environmental Polymer Degradation 5, 97–109.

Log k values for ion exchange resins

Table A1-1. Log k values for cation exchange resin (DOW 4% DVB) referring to the reaction;.
HX + M + = MX + H ⁺ (where X denotes the exchange site and M denotes an arbitrary cation)
(Dow n d).

Counter Ion	Log k
H⁺	0.000
Li⁺	-0.119
H⁺	0.000
Na⁺	0.079
NH_4^+	0.158
K⁺	0.236
Rb⁺	0.270
Cs⁺	0.305
Ag⁺	0.554
TI⁺	0.706
UO2 ²⁺	0.253
Mg2+	0.348
Zn ²⁺	0.375
Co ²⁺	0.389
Cu ²⁺	0.396
Cd ²⁺	0.407
Ni ²⁺	0.417
Ca ²⁺	0.497
Sr ²⁺	0.551
Pb ²⁺	0.696
Ba ²⁺	0.753

Table A1-2. Log k values for anion exchange resin (Type I) referring to the reaction;. OHX + A- = AX
+ OH– (where X denotes the exchange site and A denotes an arbitrary anion)(DOW Tech Facts).

Anion	Log k
OH-	0.000
Benzene sulphonate	2.699
Salicylate	2.653
Citrate	2.342
I [_]	2.243
Phenate	2.041
HSO4 ⁻	1.929
CIO ₃ ⁻	1.869
NO ₃ ⁻	1.813
Br	1.699
CN⁻	1.447
HSO₃⁻	1.431
BrO ₃ ⁻	1.431
NO ₂ ⁻	1.380
Cl⁻	1.342
HCO₃ [−]	0.778
IO ₃ ⁻	0.740
Formate	0.663
Acetate	0.505
Propionate	0.415
F-	0.204

Appendix 2

Representation of CSH

There are several representations available for the CSH-gel in order to describe the incongruent dissolution with decreasing Ca/Si ratio. The most straight forward approach, *i.e.* assuming a selection of distinct mineral phases with stepwise decreasing log k values (see Table A2-1), has been compared with various solid solution approaches (see Figure A2-1). The mutual deviations in terms of pH evolution are limited to at maximum 200 years and/or 0.3 pH units.

Table A2-1. The distinct mineral phases representing CSH in the Thermoddem database.

Mineral phase	Formula	Log k
CSH_1.6	Ca1.60SiO3.6:2.58H2O	28.000
CSH_1.2	Ca1.2SiO3.2:2.06H2O	19.300
CSH_0.8	Ca0.8SiO2.8:1.54H2O	11.050



Figure A2-1. The pH evolution for 1 BLA as predicted for the base case with different representation of the CSH (all thermodynamic parameters from the Thermoddem database).

Input files Input file 1 BLA

```
TITLE BLA
PRINT
-species false
#-saturation indices false
SOLUTION MASTER SPECIES
                            0.0 Isa 179.147
Isa
             H4Isa-
SOLUTION SPECIES
#To improve convergence
H20 + 0.01e - = H20 - 0.01;
                             log k -9.0
# From PCHatches 18.1
H4Isa-
        = H4Isa-;
                                          delta h 0 kcal
                             log k 0;
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                             log k 4; delta h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa- = CaH3Isa + H+; log_k -10.4; delta_h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa- = CaH4Isa+1;
                             log k 1.7; delta h 0 kcal
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                             log k 4; delta h 0 kcal
H4SiO4 = H3SiO4 - + H+
log k -9.810
-analytical expression -7.168562E+2 -1.244851E-1 3.629892E+4
2.617007E+2 -2.235415E+6
PHASES
# From PCHatches 18.1
Ca(H4Isa)2
             Ca(H4Isa)2 = Ca+2 + 2H4Isa-
             log k -6.4
             delta_h 0 kcal
H4IsaH
             H4IsaH = H+ + H4Isa-
             log k -10
EXCHANGE MASTER SPECIES
# Cationic (X) and anionic (Xa) ion exchangers
            X-
Х
Хa
            Xa+
```

EXCHANGE_SPECIES

#	DOW	cation	exchange	48	DVP

# Dow cacton	enemange is byb		
Х-	= X-		; log_k 0.0
X- + H+	= HX	; log_k	0.0
HX + Li+	= LiX + H+	; log_k	-0.119
HX + Na+	= NaX + H+	; log_k	0.079
HX + NH4+	= NH4X + H+	; log_k	0.158
HX + K+	= KX + H+	; log_k	0.236
HX + Rb+	= RbX + H+	; log_k	0.270
HX + Cs+	= CsX + H+	; log_k	0.305
HX + Ag+	= AgX + H+	; log_k	0.554
HX + Tl+	= TlX + H+	; log_k	0.706
2HX + UO2++	= UO2X2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.253
2HX + Mg++	= MgX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.348
2HX + Zn++	= ZnX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.375
2HX + Co++	= CoX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.389
2HX + Cu++	= CuX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.396
2HX + Cd++	= CdX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.407
2HX + Ni++	= NiX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.417
2HX + Ca++	= CaX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.497
2HX + Sr++	= SrX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.551
2HX + Pb++	= PbX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.696
2HX + Ba++	= BaX2 + 2H+	; log_k	0.753
# DOW Anion e	xchange Type 1		
Xa+	= Xa+	; log_k 0.0	
Xa+ + OH-	= OHXa	; log_k 0.0	
#OHXa + OH-	= OHXa + OH-;	log_k	0.000
OHXa + I-	= IXa + OH-;	log_k	2.243
OHXa + HSO4-	= HSO4Xa + OH-;	log_k	1.929
OHXa + ClO3-	= ClO3Xa + OH-;	log_k	1.869
OHXa + NO3-	= NO3Xa + OH-;	log_k	1.813
OHXa + Br-	= BrXa + OH-;	log_k	1.699
OHXa + CN-	= CNXa + OH-;	log_k	1.447
OHXa + HSO3-	= HSO3Xa + OH-;	log_k	1.431
OHXa + BrO3-	= BrO3Xa + OH-;	log_k	1.431
OHXa + NO2-	= NO2Xa + OH-;	log_k	1.380
OHXa + Cl-	= ClXa + OH-;	log_k	1.342
OHXa + HCO3-	= HCO3Xa + OH-;	log_k	0.778
OHXa + IO3-	= IO3Xa + OH-;	log_k	0.740
OHXa + F-	= FXa + OH-;	log_k	0.204

RATES H4IsaH #Degradation rate for paper according to Glaus (2008) -start 20 if (m <= 0) then goto 200 35 k1 = 4.3E-3/3600

```
44 kt = 4.3E - 4/3600
55 \text{ kh} = 1.6E - 7/3600
65 \text{ Gr} = 3.5\text{E}-3
100 rate = (exp(-kh*sim time)*kt*kt*exp(-kt*sim time)*Gr*k1)/kt^2-kh*-
exp(-kh*sim time)*(((1-exp(-kt*sim time))*Gr*k1)/kt-1)
180 moles = rate*time*m
190 REM moles = rate*time*m
200 SAVE moles
-end
Fe, element
#Corrosion of carbon steel
-start
20 if (m \le 0) then goto 200
30 R = 0.001250/365/24/3600
100 rate = R
180 moles = rate*time
190 REM moles = rate*time
200 SAVE moles
-end
Quartz, alpha
-start
10 dif temp = 1/TK - 1/298
20 pk_w = 13.7 + 4700.4 * dif_temp
40 moles = (m/m0)^0.67 * 10^-pk_w *(1 - SR("Quartz,alpha"))
50 save moles * time
-end
SOLUTION 0
pH 7.0 charge
-water 4.02
units mol/kgw
#Saline groundwater
#C 1.48E-03
#Ca 1.50E-02
#Cl 9.87E-02
#K 5.12E-04
#Mg 6.17E-03
#Na 6.53E-02
#S 3.64E-03
#Si 1.83E-04
#Temperate-preglacial
              4.92E-3
С
Ca
             1.25E-3
Cl
              5.36E-3
```

K	1.28E-4		
Mg	4.94E-4		
Na	7.83E-3		
S	5.21E-4		
Si	2.00E-4		
01	2.002 1		
#Clacial doris	rod wata	r	
	veu wale	L	
#C 6.40E-04			
#Ca 2.96E-04			
#Cl 8.19E-06			
#K 1.65E-05			
#Mg 1.04E-06			
#Na 4.35E-06			
#S 1.25E-05			
#Si 2.13E-04			
EQUILIBRIUM PH	HASES 1		
#Cement + cond	crete		
csh 1 6	0	7 05663E-0	1
portlandite	0	6 82913E-0	1
porciandice	0	1 E0220E 0	⊥ つ
appuc	0	1.38330E-0	2
СЗАНО	0	4.39772E-0	2
hydrotalcite	0	6.37760E-0	3
Hematite	0	3.37599E-0	2
#SiO2	0	1.59597E+0	0
#Metals			
Al,element	0	2.24594173	9
#Fe,element	0	62.4944041	5
#Evaporator co	oncentra	te	
Halite	0	2.77193E-0	3
Sylvite	0	1.44867E-0	4
Thenardite	0	3.04135E-0	4
Na2CO3	0	5 09492E-0	4
#	0	3.031321 0	1
# Cocondomy of			
# Secondary pr		0 0	
Boenmille	0.0	0.0	
Calcite	0.0	0.0	
Chalcedony	0.0	0.0	
csh_1.2		0.0	0.0
csh_0.8		0.0	0.0
Gibbsite	0.0	0.0	
Gismondine	0.0	0.0	
Goethite	0.0	0.0	
Gyrolite	0.0	0.0	
Kaolinite	0.0	0.0	
Laumontite	0.0	0.0	
magnetite	0.0	0.0	
Prehnite	0 0	0 0	
	J • J		

```
Straetlingite 0.0
                   0.0
# Corrosion off gas
            0.0
02,g
                   0.0
H2,g
            0.0
                   0.0
SOLUTION 1
-pH 7.0 charge
-water 4.02
units mol/kgw
Al
   6.90E-07
C 4.68E-03
Ca 1.27E-03
Cl 5.09E-03
K 8.12E-03
Mg 4.69E-04
Na 1.09E-02
S
    5.09E-04
Si 1.92E-04
KINETICS 1
#H4ISAH
#-m 1.6132
#-m0 1.6132
#Fe,element
#-m 62.49440415
#-m0 62.49440415
Quartz, alpha
-m 1.59597E+00
-m0 1.59597E+00
EXCHANGE 1
#NaX 0.064933333
#ClXa 0.032466667
#HX
        0.064933333
#OHXa 0.032466667
USER GRAPH
-headings Time sim no step no pH H4IsaH Steel Portlandite CSH 1.6
CSH 1.2 CSH 0.8
-initial_solutions true
-connect simulations true
-plot concentration vs time
-axis_scale y_axis 7 14 1
-start
10 graph x sim time/3600/24/365
```

```
20 REM graph y time/3600/24/365
30 graph y sim no
40 graph y step no
50 graph y -la("H+")
60 graph sy KIN("H4IsaH")
65 graph sy KIN("Fe,element")
70 graph sy equi("Portlandite")
80 graph sy equi("CSH 1.6")
90 graph sy equi("CSH 1.2")
100 graph sy equi("CSH 0.8")
-end
TRANSPORT # 0- 2000 AD
-cells 1
-length 10
-dispersivity 0.002
-shifts 0
                      # 0 pore water exchanges during 0-2000 AD
-flow direction forward
-time step 4.1644E+12
-boundary conditions flux flux
-diffusion coefficient 0.3e-9
END
TRANSPORT # 2000- 3000 AD
-cells 1
-length 10
-dispersivity 0.002
                      # 4 pore water exchanges during 2000-3000 AD
-shifts 4
-flow direction forward
-time step 8.3237E+09
-boundary conditions flux flux
-diffusion coefficient 0.3e-9
END
TRANSPORT # > 3000 AD
-cells 1
-length 10
-dispersivity 0.002
-shifts 834
                      # 834 pore water exchanges during 3000-100000 AD
-flow direction forward
-time step 3.6853E+09
```

END

Input file 2 BTF1

```
TITLE BTF1
SOLUTION MASTER SPECIES
                          0.0 Isa 179.147
Isa
           H4Isa-
SOLUTION SPECIES
#To improve convergence
H20 + 0.01e - = H20 - 0.01;
                           log k -9.0
# From PCHatches 18.1
                        log_k 0; delta_h 0 kcal
H4Isa- = H4Isa-;
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                           log k 4;
                                       delta h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa- = CaH3Isa + H+; log_k -10.4;delta_h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa - = CaH4Isa+1;
                          log k 1.7; delta h 0 kcal
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                           log k 4;
                                      delta h 0 kcal
PHASES
# From PCHatches 18.1
Ca(H4Isa)2
        Ca(H4Isa)2 = Ca+2 + 2H4Isa-
        log k -6.4
        delta h 0 kcal
H4IsaH
        H4IsaH = H+ + H4Isa-
        log k -10
EXCHANGE MASTER SPECIES
# Cationic (X) and anionic (Xa) ion exchangers
Х
       X-
Хa
       Xa+
EXCHANGE SPECIES
# DOW cation exchange 4% DVB
             = X-
Х-
                                       ; log_k 0.0
X- + H+
           = HX
                                        ; log k 0.0
           = LiX + H+
HX + Li+
                                       ; log k -0.119
           = NaX + H+
HX + Na+
                                       ; log k 0.079
HX + NH4 + = NH4X + H +
                                       ; log k 0.158
            = KX + H+
HX + K+
                                       ; log k 0.236
           = RbX + H+
HX + Rb+
                                       ; log k 0.270
HX + Cs+
           = CsX + H+
                                       ; log k 0.305
           = AgX + H+
                                       ; log k 0.554
HX + Ag+
HX + Tl+
           = TlX + H+
                                       ; log k 0.706
2HX + UO2++ = UO2X2 + 2H+
                                       ; log k 0.253
2HX + Mg++ = MgX2 + 2H+
                                       ; log k 0.348
2HX + Zn++ = ZnX2 + 2H+
                                       ; log k 0.375
```

```
2HX + CO++ = COX2 + 2H+
                                                   0.389
                                         ; log k
2HX + Cu++
            = CuX2 + 2H+
                                         ; log k
                                                   0.396
2HX + Cd++ = CdX2 + 2H+
                                                   0.407
                                         ; log k
2HX + Ni++
          = NiX2 + 2H+
                                         ; log k
                                                   0.417
2HX + Ca++
            = CaX2 + 2H+
                                          ; log k
                                                   0.497
2HX + Sr++ = SrX2 + 2H+
                                         ; log k
                                                   0.551
2HX + Pb++
           = PbX2 + 2H+
                                          ; log k
                                                    0.696
2HX + Ba++
            = BaX2 + 2H+
                                                    0.753
                                          ; log k
# DOW Anion exchange Type 1
               = Xa+
Xa+
                                                    ; log k 0.0
Xa+ + OH- = OHXa
                                                    ; log_k 0.0
\#OHXa + OH- = OHXa + OH-
                                          0.000
                            ; log k
OHXa + I- = IXa
                              + OH-
                                          ; log k
                                                    2.243
OHXa + HSO4 - = HSO4Xa + OH -
                            ; log k
                                          1.929
OHXa + ClO3- = ClO3Xa + OH- ; log_k
                                          1.869
OHXa + NO3- = NO3Xa + OH- ; log k
                                          1.813
OHXa + Br- = BrXa + OH- ; log k
                                          1.699
OHXa + CN- = CNXa + OH-
                            ; log k
                                          1.447
OHXa + HSO3- = HSO3Xa + OH- ; log k
                                          1.431
OHXa + BrO3- = BrO3Xa + OH- ; log k
                                         1.431
OHXa + NO2 - = NO2Xa
                              + OH-
                                         ; log k
                                                   1.380
OHXa + Cl - = ClXa
                              + OH-
                                         ; log k
                                                   1.342
OHXa + HCO3 - = HCO3Xa + OH -
                                          0.778
                            ; log k
OHXa + IO3 - = IO3Xa
                              + OH-
                                          ; log k
                                                    0.740
OHXa + F - = FXa + OH -
                            ; log k
                                         0.204
RATES
H4IsaH
#Degradation rate for paper according to Glaus 2008
-start
20 if (m \le 0) then goto 200
35 k1 = 4.3E - 3/3600
44 kt = 4.3E - 4/3600
55 kh = 1.6E - 7/3600
65 Gr = 3.5E-3
100 rate = (exp(-kh*sim time)*kt*kt*exp(-kt*sim time)*Gr*k1)/kt^2-kh*-
exp(-kh*sim time)*(((1-exp(-kt*sim time))*Gr*k1)/kt-1)
190 moles = rate*time*m
200 SAVE moles
-end
Fe, element
#carbonsteel
#Corrosion of carbon steel
-start
20 if (m <= 0) then goto 200
30 R = 4.87E - 04/365/24/3600
```

```
100 rate = R
180 moles = rate*time
190 REM moles = rate*time
200 SAVE moles
-end
Quartz, alpha
-start
10 dif temp = 1/TK - 1/298
20 pk_w = 13.7 + 4700.4 * dif_temp
40 moles = (m/m0)^0.67 * 10^-pk_w *(1 - SR("Quartz,alpha"))
50 save moles * time
-end
SOLUTION 0
pH 7.0 charge
#-water 5.09E5
-water 0.509
units mol/kgw
# Saline groundwater
             1.48E-03
#C
             1.50E-02
#Ca
#Cl
            9.87E-02
#K
             5.12E-04
            6.17E-03
#Mg
            6.53E-02
#Na
#S
             3.64E-03
#Si
            1.83E-04
#Temperate-periglacial
С
            4.92E-3
Ca
             1.25E-3
Cl
             5.36E-3
             1.28E-4
Κ
             4.94E-4
Mq
             7.83E-3
Na
S
             5.21E-4
Si
             2.00E-4
#Glacial derived water
#C
             6.40E-04
#Ca
            2.96E-04
#Cl
             8.19E-06
#K
             1.65E-05
            1.04E-06
#Mg
#Na
             4.35E-06
#S
             1.25E-05
            2.13E-04
#Si
```

EQUILIBRIUM_P	HASES 1					
#Cement + con	crete					
csh_1.6	0	3.71372E+00				
portlandite	0	3.58987E+00				
ettringite	0	8.32790E-02				
СЗАН6	0	2.32802E-01				
hydrotalcite	0 3.33590E-02					
Hematite	0	1.75431E-01				
#SiO2	0	1.12167E+02				
#Metals						
Al,element		0.0		2.06E+00		
#Fe,element		0.0		2.44E+01		
#Ashes						
Lime		0.0		4.07E+00		
Amorphous_sil:	ica0.0		3.16E+00			
Al2O3glass		0.0		6.39E-01		
Na2O		0.0		4.38E-02		
K20			0.0	2.88E-02		
Periclase		0.0		1.35E+00		
# Secondary pl	hases					
Boehmite	0.0	0.0				
Calcite	0.0	0.0				
Chalcedony	0.0	0.0				
csh_1.2		0.0		0.0		
csh_0.8		0.0		0.0		
Gibbsite	0.0	0.0				
Gismondine	0.0	0.0				
Goethite	0.0	0.0				
Gyrolite	0.0	0.0				
Kaolinite	0.0	0.0				
Laumontite	0.0	0.0				
magnetite	0.0	0.0				
Prehnite	0.0	0.0				
Straetlingite	0.0	0.0				
# Corrosion of	ff gas					
02,g	0.0	0.0				
H2,g	0.0	0.0				
CO2,g		0.0	0.0			
SOLUTION 1						
-pH 7.0 char	ge					
#-water 5.09E	#-water 5.09E5					
-water 0.509	-water 0.509					
units mol/kgw						
#redox O(-2)/0(0)					
#O(0) 8.0 m	mg/kgw					
Al 9.66E-06						

```
C 1.53E-03
Ca 1.48E-03
Cl 1.61E-03
    1.12E-01
Κ
Mg 1.48E-04
Na 5.01E-02
S
    3.53E-04
Si 8.38E-05
EXCHANGE 1
#NaX 0.3
#ClXa 0.15
#HX 0.3
#OHXa 0.15
KINETICS 1
#H4ISAH
#-m 0.009042853
#-m0 0.009042853
#Fe,element
#-m 2.44E+01
#-m0 2.44E+01
Quartz, alpha
-m 1.12167E+02
-m0 1.12167E+02
USER GRAPH
-headings Time sim_no step_no pH H4IsaH Steel Portlandite CSH_1.6
CSH_1.2 CSH_0.8
-initial solutions true
-connect simulations true
-plot concentration vs time
-axis scale y axis 7 14 1
-start
10 graph x sim time/3600/24/365
20 REM graph y time/3600/24/365
30 graph_y sim_no
40 graph_y step_no
50 graph y -la("H+")
60 graph sy KIN("H4IsaH")
65 graph sy KIN("Fe,element")
70 graph sy equi("Portlandite")
80 graph sy equi("CSH 1.6")
90 graph sy equi("CSH 1.2")
100 graph sy equi("CSH 0.8")
-end
```

```
TRANSPORT # 0- 2000 AD
-cells 1
-lengths 10
-dispersivities 0.002
-shifts 0 # 0 pore water exchanges during 0 - 2 000 AD
-flow_direction forward
-time_step 8.8020E+12
-boundary_conditions flux flux
-diffusion_coefficient 0.3e-9
```

```
END
```

```
TRANSPORT # 2000- 3000 AD
-cells 1
-lengths 10
-dispersivities 0.002
-shifts 1 # 1 pore water exchanges during 2 000 - 3 000 AD
-flow_direction forward
-time_step 4.6186E+10
-boundary_conditions flux flux
-diffusion_coefficient 0.3e-9
```

END

```
TRANSPORT # 3000- 100000 AD
-cells 1
-shifts 138 # 138 pore water exchanges during 3 000 - 100 000 AD
-flow_direction forward
-time_step 2.2421E+10
```

END

Input file 3 BTF2

TITLE BTF2 PRINT -species false -saturation indices false SOLUTION MASTER_SPECIES H4Isa-0.0 Isa 179.147 Isa SOLUTION SPECIES #To improve convergence H2O + 0.01e - = H2O - 0.01;log k -9.0 # From PCHatches 18.1 H4Isa- = H4Isa-; log k 0; delta h 0 kcal H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH; log k 4; delta h 0 kcal Ca+2 + H4Isa - = CaH3Isa + H+; log k -10.4; delta h 0 kcal Ca+2 + H4Isa- = CaH4Isa+1; log_k 1.7; delta_h 0 kcal H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;log k 4; delta h 0 kcal PHASES # From PCHatches 18.1 Ca(H4Isa)2 Ca(H4Isa)2 = Ca+2 + 2H4Isalog k -6.4 delta h 0 kcal H4IsaH H4IsaH = H+ + H4Isalog k -10 EXCHANGE MASTER SPECIES # Cationic (X) and anionic (Xa) ion exchangers Х Х-Хa Xa+ EXCHANGE SPECIES # DOW cation exchange 4% DVB Х-= Х-; log k 0.0 X- + H+ = HX ; log k 0.0 HX + Li+ = LiX + H+ ; log k -0.119 HX + Na+ = NaX + H+ ; log_k 0.079 HX + NH4+ = NH4X + H+ ; log k 0.158 = KX + H+ HX + K+ ; log k 0.236 HX + Rb+ = RbX + H+ ; log k 0.270 = CsX + H+ HX + Cs+ ; log k 0.305

HX + Ag+	=	AgX + H+		;	log_k	0.554
HX + Tl+	=	TlX + H+		;	log_k	0.706
2HX + UO2++	=	UO2X2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.253
2HX + Mg++	=	MgX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.348
2HX + Zn++	=	ZnX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.375
2HX + Co++	=	CoX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.389
2HX + Cu++	=	CuX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.396
2HX + Cd++	=	CdX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.407
2HX + Ni++	=	NiX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.417
2HX + Ca++	=	CaX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.497
2HX + Sr++	=	SrX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.551
2HX + Pb++	=	PbX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.696
2HX + Ba++	=	BaX2 + 2H+		;	log_k	0.753
# DOW Anion ex	xcł	nange Type 1				
Xa+		= Xa+				; log_k 0.0
Xa+ + OH-	=	OHXa				; log_k 0.0
#OHXa + OH-	=	OHXa + OH-		;	log_k	0.000
OHXa + I-		= IXa	+ OH-	;	log_k	2.243
OHXa + HSO4-		= $HSO4Xa + OH-$;	log_k	1.929
OHXa + ClO3-	=	ClO3Xa + OH-		;	log_k	1.869
OHXa + NO3-	=	NO3Xa + OH-		;	log_k	1.813
OHXa + Br-	=	BrXa + OH-		;	log_k	1.699
OHXa + CN-	=	CNXa + OH-		;	log_k	1.447
OHXa + HSO3-	=	HSO3Xa + OH-		;	log_k	1.431
OHXa + BrO3-	=	BrO3Xa + OH-		;	log_k	1.431
OHXa + NO2-	=	NO2Xa + OH-		;	log_k	1.380
OHXa + Cl-	=	ClXa + OH-		;	log_k	1.342
OHXa + HCO3-	=	HCO3Xa + OH-		;	log_k	0.778
OHXa + IO3-	=	IO3Xa	+ OH-	;	log_k	0.740
OHXa + F-	=	FXa + OH-		;	log_k	0.204
RATES						
H4IsaH						
#Degradation :	rat	te for paper acc	ording to G	laus	2008	
-start						
20 if (m <= 0)) t	then goto 200				
$35 \ k1 = 4.3E-3$	3/3	3600				
44 kt = 4.3 E - 4	4/3	3600				
$55 \text{ kh} = 1.6\text{E}^{-7}$	7/3	3600				
65 Gr = 3.5E-3	3					
100 rate = (example)	хp	(-kh*sim_time)*k	t*kt*exp(-ki	t*sin	n_time)*(Gr*k1)/kt^2-kh*-
exp(-kh*sim_t	ime	e)*(((1-exp(-kt*	sim_time))*(Gr*k1)/kt-1)	
190 moles = ra	ate	e*time*m				
200 SAVE moles	S					

```
Fe, element
#carbonsteel
#Corrosion of carbon steel
-start
20 if (m <= 0) then goto 200
30 R = 6.52E - 04/365/24/3600
100 rate = R
180 moles = rate*time
190 REM moles = rate*time
200 SAVE moles
-end
Quartz, alpha
-start
10 dif temp = 1/TK - 1/298
20 pk w = 13.7 + 4700.4 * dif_temp
40 moles = (m/m0)^0.67 * 10^-pk w *(1 - SR("Quartz, alpha"))
50 save moles * time
-end
SOLUTION 0
pH 7.0 charge
-water 0.641
units mol/kgw
# Saline groundwater
#C
             1.48E-03
             1.50E-02
#Ca
#Cl
             9.87E-02
             5.12E-04
#K
             6.17E-03
#Mg
             6.53E-02
#Na
             3.64E-03
#S
#Si
             1.83E-04
#Temperate-periglacial water
С
            4.92E-3
Ca
             1.25E-3
Cl
             5.36E-3
             1.28E-4
Κ
Mg
             4.94E-4
Na
             7.83E-3
S
             5.21E-4
Si
             2.00E-4
```

#Glacial deri	ved wate	er			
#C	6.40E-	04			
#Ca	2.96E-	04			
#Cl	8.19E-	06			
#K	1.65E-	05			
#Mg	1.04E-	06			
#Na	4.35E-	06			
#S	1.25E-	05			
#Si	2.13E-	04			
EQUILIBRIUM_P	HASES 1				
#Concrete					
csh_1.6	0		3.58584	# disso	lve_only
portlandite	0		3.46524		
ettringite	0		0.0804		
СЗАН6	0		0.22512		
hydrotalcite	0 0.03	216			
Hematite	0		0.16884		
#SiO2	0		133.8016	8	
#Metals					
#Fe,element			0.0	32.5902	05
# Ion exchang	e resin	, see EX	CHANGE		
# Secondary p	hases				
Boehmite	0.0		0.0		
Calcite	0.0		0.0		
Chalcedony	0.0		0.0		
csh_1.2			0.0		0.0
csh_0.8			0.0	0.0	
Gibbsite	0.0		0.0		
Gismondine	0.0			0.0	
Goethite	0.0		0.0		
Gyrolite	0.0		0.0		
Kaolinite	0.0		0.0		
Laumontite	0.0		0.0		
magnetite	0.0		0.0		
Prehnite	0.0		0.0		
Straetlingite	0.0		0.0		
# Corrosion o	ff gas				
02,g	0.0		0.0		
Н2,д	0.0		0.0		
SOLUTION 1					
-pH 7.0 char	ge				

-water 0.641 units mol/kgw Al 9.66E-06 C 1.53E-03

```
Ca 1.48E-03
Cl 1.61E-03
Κ
   1.12E-01
Mg 1.48E-04
Na 5.01E-02
    3.53E-04
S
   8.38E-05
Si
EXCHANGE 1
#NaX 0.554
#ClXa 0.277
#HX 0.554
#OHXa 0.277
KINETICS 1
#H4ISAH
#-m 0
#-m0 0
#Fe,element
#-m 32.590205
#-m0 32.590205
Quartz, alpha
-m 133.80168
-m0 133.80168
USER GRAPH
-headings Time sim no step no pH H4IsaH Steel Portlandite CSH 1.6
CSH 1.2 CSH 0.8
-initial solutions true
-connect simulations true
-plot concentration vs time
-axis scale y axis 7 14 1
-start
10 graph x sim time/3600/24/365
20 REM graph_y time/3600/24/365
30 graph y sim no
40 graph_y step_no
50 graph_y -la("H+")
60 graph_sy KIN("H4IsaH")
65 graph sy KIN("Fe,element")
70 graph sy equi("Portlandite")
80 graph sy equi("CSH 1.6")
90 graph sy equi("CSH 1.2")
100 graph sy equi("CSH 0.8")
-end
```

```
TRANSPORT
-cells 1
-lengths 10
-dispersivities 0.002
-shifts 0
                         # 0 pore water changes during 0 - 2 000 AD
-flow direction forward
-time_step 3.2054E+13
-boundary_conditions flux flux
-diffusion coefficient 0.3e-9
END
TRANSPORT
-cells 1
-lengths 10
-dispersivities 0.002
-shifts 0
                         # 0 pore water changes during 2 000 - 3 000 AD
-flow direction forward
-time step 9.4811E+10
-boundary conditions flux flux
-diffusion_coefficient 0.3e-9
END
TRANSPORT
-cells 1
-lengths 10
-dispersivities 0.002
-shifts 63
                     # 63 pore water changes during 3 000 - 100 000 AD
-flow direction forward
-time step 4.9024E+10
-boundary conditions flux flux
```

END

```
Input file 4 BMA – Bitumen
```

```
TITLE BMA Bitumen Fack 3
PRINT
-species false
-saturation indices false
SOLUTION_MASTER_SPECIES
           H4Isa- 0.0 Isa 179.147
Isa
SOLUTION SPECIES
# From PCHatches 18.1
H4Isa- = H4Isa-;
                      log_k 0; delta_h 0 kcal
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                                       log k 4; delta h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa- = CaH3Isa + H+; log_k -10.4;delta_h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa- = CaH4Isa+1; log k 1.7; delta h 0 kcal
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                           log k 4; delta h 0 kcal
PHASES
# From PCHatches 18.1
Ca(H4Isa)2
      Ca(H4Isa)2 = Ca+2 + 2H4Isa-
      log k -6.4
      delta h 0 kcal
H4IsaH
      H4IsaH = H+ + H4Isa-
      log k -10
SOLUTION SPECIES
#To improve convergence
H2O + 0.01e - = H2O - 0.01;
                          log k
                                     -9.0
EXCHANGE MASTER SPECIES
# Cationic (X) and anionic (Xa) ion exchangers
           Х-
Х
Хa
           Xa+
EXCHANGE SPECIES
# DOW cation exchange 4% DVB
Х-
                           = X-
                                   ; log k
                                                0.0
X- + H+
          = HX
                                      ; log k 0.0
HX + Li+
          = LiX + H+
                                      ; log k -0.119
HX + Na+
           = NaX + H+
                                      ; log k 0.079
HX + NH4+
           = NH4X + H+
                                      ; log k 0.158
HX + K+
                                      ; log_k 0.236
          = KX + H+
          = RbX + H+
HX + Rb+
                                      ; log k 0.270
          = CsX + H+
HX + Cs+
                                       ; log k 0.305
```

HX + Ag+	= Ag	IX +	H+		;	log_k	0.554	
HX + Tl+	= Tl	+ X.	H+		;	log_k	0.706	
2HX + UO2++	= UO)2X2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.253	
2HX + Mg++	= Mg	rX2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.348	
2HX + Zn++	= Zn	X2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.375	
2HX + Co++	= Co	X2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.389	
2HX + Cu++	= Cu	1X2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.396	
2HX + Cd++	= Cd	IX2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.407	
2HX + Ni++	= Ni	.X2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.417	
2HX + Ca++	= Ca	X2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.497	
2HX + Sr++	= Sr	X2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.551	
2HX + Pb++	= Pb	x2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.696	
2HX + Ba++	= Ba	X2 +	2H+		;	log_k	0.753	
# DOW Anion e>	chang	ge Type	e 1					
Xa+				= Xa+	;	log_k	0.0	
Xa+ + OH-	= OHX	Xa			;	log_k	0.0	
#OHXa + OH-	= OHX	Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	0.000	
OHXa + I-	= IXa	a + 01	H -		;	log_k	2.243	
OHXa + HSO4-	= HSC	04Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	1.929	
OHXa + ClO3-	= Cl(03Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	1.869	
OHXa + NO3-	= NO3	3Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	1.813	
OHXa + Br-	= Brz	Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	1.699	
OHXa + CN-	= CN2	Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	1.447	
OHXa + HSO3-	= HSC	03Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	1.431	
OHXa + BrO3-	= Br(03Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	1.431	
OHXa + NO2-	= NO2	2Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	1.380	
OHXa + Cl-	= Cl2	Xa + (⊃H−		;	log_k	1.342	
OHXa + HCO3-	= HCC	03Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	0.778	
OHXa + IO3-	= IO3	3Xa +	OH-		;	log_k	0.740	
OHXa + F-	=	FXa	+ OH-		;	log_k	0.204	
RATES								
H4IsaH								
#Degradation n	ate :	for pa	per acc	ording to	Glaus	2008		
-start								
20 if (m <= 0)) the	en goto	o 200					
35 k1 = 4.3E-	-3/360	00						
44 kt = 4.3E	-4/360	00						
55 kh = 1.6E	-7/360	00						
65 Gr = 3.5E-	-3							
100 rate = (ex exp(-kh*sim ti	kp(-kl .me)*	h*sim_ ⁻ (((1-e:	time)*k xp(-kt*	t*kt*exp(sim time)	-kt*sin)*Gr*k1	n_time) .)/kt-1	*Gr*k1)/kt/	`2-kh*-
190 moles = ra	ate*t:	ime*m	-	_ ,				
200 SAVE moles	5							
-end								

```
Fe, element
#carbonsteel
#Corrosion of carbon steel
-start
20 if (m <= 0) then goto 200
30 R = 8.49E - 05/365/24/3600
100 rate = R
180 moles = rate*time
190 REM moles = rate*time
200 SAVE moles
-end
Quartz, alpha
-start
10 dif temp = 1/TK - 1/298
20 pk w = 13.7 + 4700.4 * dif_temp
40 moles = (m/m0)^0.67 * 10^-pk w *(1 - SR("Quartz, alpha"))
50 save moles * time
-end
SOLUTION 0
pH 7.0 charge
-water 0.15538
units mol/kgw
# Saline groundwater
#C
             1.48E-03
             1.50E-02
#Ca
#Cl
             9.87E-02
             5.12E-04
#K
             6.17E-03
#Mg
             6.53E-02
#Na
             3.64E-03
#S
#Si
             1.83E-04
#Temperate-periglacial
С
           4.92E-3
Ca
             1.25E-3
Cl
             5.36E-3
             1.28E-4
Κ
Mg
             4.94E-4
Na
             7.83E-3
S
             5.21E-4
             2.00E-4
Si
##Glacial derived water
#C
             6.40E-04
#Ca
            2.96E-04
```

#Cl	8.19E-06
#K	1.65E-05
#Mg	1.04E-06
#Na	4.35E-06
#S	1.25E-05
#Si	2.13E-04

EQUILIBRIUM_PH	IASES 1	
#Concrete wall	-	
csh_1.6	0	3.34500E-02
portlandite	0	3.23250E-02
ettringite	0	7.50000E-04
СЗАН6	0	2.10000E-03
hydrotalcite	0 3.00000E-04	
Hematite	0	1.57500E-03
#SiO2	0	1.24815E+00
# Secondary ph	lases	
Boehmite	0.0	0.0
Calcite	0.0	0.0
Chalcedony	0.0	0.0
csh_1.2		0.0
csh_0.8		0.0
Gibbsite	0.0	0.0
Gismondine	0.0	0.0
Goethite	0.0	0.0
Gyrolite	0.0	0.0
Kaolinite	0.0	0.0
Laumontite	0.0	0.0
magnetite	0.0	0.0
Prehnite	0.0	0.0
Straetlingite	0.0	0.0

0.0

0.0

SOLUTION 1 -pH 7.0 charge -water 0.15538 units mol/kgw Al 1.38E-05 C 8.25E-05 Ca 1.58E-03 Cl 0.00E+00 K 1.60E-01 Mg 1.93E-09 Na 6.82E-02 S 2.81E-04 Si 3.40E-05

KINETICS 1 Quartz,alpha -m 1.24815E+00 -m0 1.24815E+00 EQUILIBRIUM PHASES 2 #LLW/ILW #Cement + concrete csh 1.6 0 7.88E-01 portlandite 0 7.63E-01 ettringite 0 1.77E-02 СЗАН6 0 4.91E-02 hydrotalcite 0 7.13E-03 Hematite 0 3.78E-02 #SiO2 0 0.00E+00 ##### # Evaporator concentrate 2.68506E-01 Halite 0.0 0.0 1.40327E-02 Svlvite Thenardite 0.0 2.94604E-02 Na2CO3 0.0 4.93525E-02 #Metals Al,element 0.0 2.62283E-02 #Fe,element 0.0 4.24251E+00 # Secondary phases Boehmite 0.0 0.0 Calcite 0.0 0.0 Chalcedony 0.0 0.0 csh 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 csh 0.8 Gibbsite 0.0 0.0 Gismondine 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Goethite Gyrolite 0.0 0.0 Kaolinite 0.0 0.0 0.0 Laumontite 0.0 magnetite 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Prehnite 0.0 Straetlingite 0.0 # Corrosion gas 02,g 0.0 0.0 H2,q 0.0 0.0

SOLUTION 2 -pH 7.0 charge -water 0.15538 units mol/kgw Al 9.66E-06 C 1.53E-03 Ca 1.48E-03 Cl 1.61E-03 Κ 1.12E-01 Mg 1.48E-04 Na 5.01E-02 3.53E-04 S Si 8.38E-05 EXCHANGE 2 #NaX 0.088717949 #ClXa 0.044358974 #HX 0.088717949 #OHXa 0.044358974 KINETICS 2 #H4ISAH #-m 0.052384999 #-m0 0.052384999 #Fe,element #-m 4.24251E+00 #-m0 4.24251E+00 USER GRAPH -headings Time sim_no step_no pH H4IsaH Steel Portlandite CSH_1.6 CSH_1.2 CSH_0.8 Fe Al -initial solutions true -connect simulations true -plot concentration vs time -axis scale y axis 7 14 1 -start 10 graph x sim time/3600/24/365 20 REM graph y time/3600/24/365 30 graph_y sim_no 40 graph_y step_no 50 graph y -la("H+")60 graph sy KIN("H4IsaH") 65 graph sy KIN("Fe,element") 70 graph sy equi("Portlandite") 80 graph sy equi("CSH 1.6") 90 graph sy equi("CSH 1.2") 100 graph sy equi("CSH 0.8")

```
120 graph sy equi("Fe,element")
130 graph sy equi("Al, element")
-end
TRANSPORT # 0-2000 AD
-cells 2
-lengths 0.5 10
-dispersivities 0.002
-shifts 0
                     # 0 pore water exchanges during 0-2000 AD
-punch cells 2 # 1 = Wall, 2 = Waste
-flow_direction forward
-time step 2.5145E+13
-boundary conditions flux flux
-diffusion coefficient 0.3e-9
END
TRANSPORT # 2000 - 3000 AD
-cells 2
-shifts 0
                      # 0 pore water exchanges during 2000-3000 AD
                     # 1 = Wall, 2 = Waste
-punch cells 2
-flow direction forward
-time step 1.4006E+11
END
TRANSPORT # > 3000 AD
-cells 2
-shifts 57
                      # 57 pore water exchanges during > 3000 AD
                      # 1 = Wall, 2 = Waste
-punch cells 2
-flow direction forward
-time step 5.4317E+10
```

END

```
Input file 5 BMA – Cement
```

```
TITLE BMA Cement fack 1
PRINT
-species false
-saturation indices false
SOLUTION MASTER_SPECIES
           H4Isa- 0.0 Isa 179.147
Isa
SOLUTION SPECIES
# From PCHatches 18.1
H4Isa- = H4Isa-;
                       log k 0; delta h 0 kcal
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                          log_k 4; delta_h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa - = CaH3Isa + H+; log k -10.4; delta h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa- = CaH4Isa+1; log_k 1.7; delta_h 0 kcal
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                           log k 4; delta h 0 kcal
PHASES
# From PCHatches 18.1
Ca(H4Isa)2
            Ca(H4Isa)2 = Ca+2 + 2H4Isa-
            log k -6.4
            delta h 0 kcal
H4IsaH
            H4IsaH = H+ + H4Isa-
            log k -10
SOLUTION SPECIES
#To improve convergence
H2O + 0.01e - = H2O - 0.01;
                                       -9.0
                          log_k
EXCHANGE MASTER SPECIES
# Cationic (X) and anionic (Xa) ion exchangers
     Х-
Х
Xa Xa+
EXCHANGE SPECIES
# DOW cation exchange 4% DVB
           = X-
X-
                                                ; log k 0.0
X - + H + = HX
                                       ; log k 0.0
HX + Li + = LiX + H +
                                       ; log k -0.119
HX + Na+
           = NaX + H+
                                       ; log k 0.079
HX + NH4+
                                       ; log k 0.158
           = NH4X + H+
                          KX + H+ ; log_k 0.236
HX + K+
             =
HX + Rb + = RbX + H +
                                       ; log k 0.270
          = CsX + H+
                                       ; log k 0.305
HX + Cs+
```

HX + Ag+	= AgX + H+		; log k	0.554
HX + Tl+	= TlX + H+		; log_k	0.706
2HX + UO2++	= UO2X2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.253
2HX + Mg++	= MgX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.348
2HX + Zn++	= ZnX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.375
2HX + Co++	= CoX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.389
2HX + Cu++	= CuX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.396
2HX + Cd++	= CdX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.407
2HX + Ni++	= NiX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.417
2HX + Ca++	= CaX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.497
2HX + Sr++	= SrX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.551
2HX + Pb++	= PbX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.696
2HX + Ba++	= BaX2 + 2H+		; log_k	0.753
# DOW Anion e:	xchange Type 1			
Xa+	= Xa+			; log_k 0.0
Xa+ + OH-	= OHXa			; log_k 0.0
#ОНХа + ОН-	= OHXa + OH-		; log_k	0.000
OHXa + I-	= IXa	+ OH-	; log_k	2.243
OHXa + HSO4-	= HSO4Xa + OH	-	; log_k	1.929
OHXa + ClO3-	= ClO3Xa + OH-		; log_k	1.869
OHXa + NO3-	= NO3Xa + OH-		; log_k	1.813
OHXa + Br-	= BrXa + OH-		; log_k	1.699
OHXa + CN-	= CNXa + OH-		; log_k	1.447
OHXa + HSO3-	= HSO3Xa + OH-		; log_k	1.431
OHXa + BrO3-	= BrO3Xa + OH-		; log_k	1.431
OHXa + NO2-	= NO2Xa	+ OH-	; log_k	1.380
OHXa + Cl-	= ClXa	+ OH-	; log_k	1.342
OHXa + HCO3-	= HCO3Xa + OH-		; log_k	0.778
OHXa + IO3-	= IO3Xa	+ OH-	; log_k	0.740
OHXa + F-	= FXa + OH	_	; log_k	0.204
RATES				
H4IsaH				
#Degradation :	rate for paper ac	ccording to Gl	aus 2008	
-start				
20 if (m <=)	0) then goto 200			
35 k1 = 4.3E	-3/3600			
44 kt = $4.3E$	-4/3600			
55 kh = 1.6 E	-7/3600			
65 Gr = 3.5E	-3			
100 1 (

```
100 rate = (exp(-kh*sim_time)*kt*kt*exp(-kt*sim_time)*Gr*k1)/kt^2-kh*-
exp(-kh*sim_time)*(((1-exp(-kt*sim_time))*Gr*k1)/kt-1)
190 moles = rate*time*m
200 SAVE moles
```

-end

```
Fe, element
#carbonsteel
#Corrosion of carbon steel
-start
20 if (m <= 0) then goto 200
30 R = 8.49E - 05/365/24/3600
100 \text{ rate} = R
180 moles = rate*time
190 REM moles = rate*time
200 SAVE moles
-end
Quartz, alpha
-start
10 dif temp = 1/TK - 1/298
20 pk_w = 13.7 + 4700.4 * dif_temp
40 moles = (m/m0)^0.67 * 10^-pk w *(1 - SR("Quartz, alpha"))
50 save moles * time
-end
SOLUTION 0
pH 7.0 charge
-water 0.15538
units mol/kgw
# Saline groundwater
#C
             1.48E-03
             1.50E-02
#Ca
#Cl
             9.87E-02
#K
             5.12E-04
             6.17E-03
#Mg
             6.53E-02
#Na
#S
              3.64E-03
#Si
             1.83E-04
#Temperate-periglacial
      4.92E-3
С
             1.25E-3
Ca
Cl
             5.36E-3
             1.28E-4
Κ
Mg
              4.94E-4
             7.83E-3
Na
S
             5.21E-4
             2.00E-4
Si
#Glacial derived water
#C
             6.40E-04
#Ca
             2.96E-04
```

#Cl	8.19E-06				
#K	1.65E-05				
#Mg	1.04E-06				
#Na	4.35E-06				
#S	1.25E-05				
#Si	2.13E-04				
##############	#########	## WALL ###	#########	########	*########
EQUILIBRIUM_PH	ASES 1				
#Concrete wall					
csh_1.6	0	3.3	34500E-02		
_ portlandite	0	3.2	23250E-02		
ettringite	0	7.5	50000E-04		
СЗАН6	0	2.1	.0000E-03		
hydrotalcite	0 3.00000	E-04			
Hematite	0	1.5	57500E-03		
#SiO2	0	1.2	24815E+00		
#Secondary pha	ses				
Boehmite	0.0	0.0)		
Calcite	0.0	0.0)		
Chalcedony	0.0	0.0)		
csh 1.2		0.0)		0.0
csh_0.8		0.0)	0.0	
Gibbsite	0.0	0.0)		
Gismondine	0.0	0.0)		
Goethite	0.0	0.0)		
Gyrolite	0.0	0.0)		
Kaolinite	0.0	0.0)		
Laumontite	0.0	0.0)		
magnetite	0.0	0.0)		
Prehnite	0.0	0.0)		
Straetlingite	0.0	0.0)		
# Corrosion of	f gas				
02,g	0.0	0.0)		
H2,g	0.0	0.0)		
SOLUTION 1					
-pH 7.0 charg	е				
-water 0.1553	8				
units mol/kgw					
Al 1.38E-05					
C 8.25E-05					
Ca 1.58E-03					
Cl 0.00E+00					
K 1.60E-01					

Mg 1.93E-09

Na 6.82E-02 S 2.81E-04 Si 3.40E-05 KINETICS 1

Quartz,alpha -m 1.24815E+00 -m0 1.24815E+00

EQUILIBRIUM_PHAS	SES 2		
# LLW/ILW			
#Cement + concre	ete		
csh_1.6	0	3.17E+00	
portlandite	0	3.06E+00	
ettringite	0	7.10E-02	
СЗАН6	0	1.97E-01	
hydrotalcite 0	2.86E-02		
Hematite	0	1.52E-01	
#SiO2	0	0.00E+00	
# Evaporator cor	icentrate		
Halite	0.0		2.68506E-01
Sylvite	0.0		1.40327E-02
Thenardite	0.0		2.94604E-02
Na2CO3	0.0		4.93525E-02
#Metals			
Al,element	0.0		2.62283E-02
#Fe,element	0.0		4.24251E+00
# Secondary phas	ses		
Boehmite	0.0	0.0	
Calcite	0.0	0.0	
Chalcedony	0.0	0.0	
csh_1.2		0.0	0
csh_0.8		0.0	0.0
Gibbsite	0.0	0.0	
Gismondine	0.0	0.0	
Goethite	0.0	0.0	
Gyrolite	0.0	0.0	
Kaolinite	0.0	0.0	
Laumontite	0.0	0.0	
magnetite	0.0	0.0	
Prehnite	0.0	0.0	
Straetlingite	0.0	0.0	
# Corrosion off	gas		
02,g	0.0	0.0	
H2,g	0.0	0.0	

0.0

```
SOLUTION 2
-pH 7.0 charge
-water 0.15538
units mol/kgw
Al 9.66E-06
C 1.53E-03
Ca
   1.48E-03
Cl 1.61E-03
K 1.12E-01
Mg 1.48E-04
Na 5.01E-02
   3.53E-04
S
Si 8.38E-05
#EXCHANGE 2
#NaX 0.088717949
#ClXa 0.044358974
#HX
    0.088717949
#OHXa 0.044358974
KINETICS 2
#H4ISAH
#-m 0.052384999
#-m0 0.052384999
#Fe,element
#-m 4.24251E+00
#-m0 4.24251E+00
USER GRAPH
-headings Time sim_no step_no pH H4IsaH Steel Portlandite CSH_1.6
CSH_1.2 CSH_0.8
-initial solutions true
-connect simulations true
-plot concentration vs time
-axis scale y axis 7 14 1
-start
10 graph x sim time/3600/24/365
20 REM graph y time/3600/24/365
30 graph_y sim_no
40 graph_y step_no
50 graph y -la("H+")
60 graph sy KIN("H4IsaH")
65 graph sy KIN("Fe,element")
70 graph sy equi("Portlandite")
80 graph sy equi("CSH 1.6")
90 graph sy equi("CSH 1.2")
100 graph sy equi("CSH 0.8")
-end
```

```
TRANSPORT # 0- 2000 AD
-cells 2
-lengths 0.5 10
-dispersivities 0.002
-shifts 0  # 0 pore water exchanges during 0-2000 AD
-punch cells 1
-flow_direction forward
-time step 2.8288E+13
-boundary conditions flux flux
-diffusion coefficient 0.3e-9
END
TRANSPORT # 2000-3000 AD
-cells 2
-shifts 0  # 0 pore water exchanges during 2 000 - 3 000 AD
-punch cells 1
-flow direction forward
-time step 1.5756E+11
END
TRANSPORT # > 3000 AD
-cells 2
-shifts 51  # 51 pore water exchanges during 3 000 - 100 000 AD
-punch cells 1
-flow direction forward
-time step 6.1107E+10
```

END

Input file 6 Silo

```
TITLE Silo
PRINT
-species false
-saturation indices false
SOLUTION MASTER_SPECIES
            H4Isa- 0.0 Isa 179.147
Isa
SOLUTION SPECIES
#To improve convergence
H2O + 0.01e - = H2O - 0.01; log k -9.0
# From PCHatches 18.1
H4Isa- = H4Isa-;
                         log k 0; delta h 0 kcal
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                            log k 4; delta h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa- = CaH3Isa + H+; log_k -10.4; delta_h 0 kcal
Ca+2 + H4Isa- = CaH4Isa+1; log_k 1.7; delta_h 0 kcal
H+ + H4Isa- = H4IsaH;
                             log k 4; delta h 0 kcal
PHASES
# From PCHatches 18.1
Ca(H4Isa)2
             Ca(H4Isa)2 = Ca+2 + 2H4Isa-
             log k -6.4
             delta h 0 kcal
H4IsaH
             H4IsaH = H+ + H4Isa-
             log k -10
EXCHANGE MASTER SPECIES
# Cationic (X) and anionic (Xa) ion exchangers
Х
            Х-
Хa
            Xa+
EXCHANGE SPECIES
# DOW cation exchange 4% DVB
              = X-
X-
                                                    ; log k 0.0
             = HX
X- + H+
                                                   ; log k 0.0
HX + Li+
           = LiX + H+
                                         ; log k -0.119
HX + Na+
           = NaX + H+
                                          ; log k 0.079
           = NH4X + H+
HX + NH4+
                                         ; log k 0.158
              = KX
HX + K+
                      + H+
                                         ; log k 0.236
\begin{array}{rcl} HX &+ & Rb+ & = & RbX &+ & H+ \\ HX &+ & Cs+ & = & CsX &+ & H+ \end{array}
                                         ; log k 0.270
                                         ; log k 0.305
HX + Ag+
           = AgX + H+
                                         ; log k 0.554
```

```
HX + Tl+
            = TlX + H+
                                                    0.706
                                          ; log k
             = UO2X2 + 2H+
2HX + UO2++
                                          ; log k
                                                    0.253
2HX + Mg++ = MgX2 + 2H+
                                                    0.348
                                          ; log k
2HX + Zn++
            = ZnX2 + 2H+
                                          ; log k
                                                    0.375
2HX + Co++
            = CoX2 + 2H+
                                          ; log k
                                                    0.389
2HX + Cu++
            = CuX2 + 2H+
                                          ; log k
                                                    0.396
2HX + Cd++
            = CdX2 + 2H+
                                          ; log k
                                                    0.407
2HX + Ni++
            = NiX2 + 2H+
                                          ; log k
                                                    0.417
2HX + Ca++
            = CaX2 + 2H+
                                                    0.497
                                          ; log k
2HX + Sr++
            = SrX2 + 2H+
                                           ; log k
                                                     0.551
2HX + Pb++
            = PbX2 + 2H+
                                          ; log_k
                                                    0.696
2HX + Ba++
            = BaX2 + 2H+
                                                    0.753
                                          ; log k
# DOW Anion exchange Type 1
Xa+
               = Xa+
                                                     ; log k 0.0
Xa+ + OH- = OHXa
                                                     ; log k 0.0
\#OHXa + OH- = OHXa + OH-
                                           ; log k
                                                    0.000
OHXa + I-
              = IXa
                               + OH-
                                          ; log k
                                                    2.243
OHXa + HSO4-
              = HSO4Xa + OH-
                                          ; log k
                                                    1.929
OHXa + ClO3 - = ClO3Xa + OH -
                                           ; log k
                                                    1.869
OHXa + NO3- = NO3Xa + OH-
                                          ; log k
                                                    1.813
OHXa + Br- = BrXa + OH-
                                          ; log k
                                                    1.699
OHXa + CN- = CNXa + OH-
                                           ; log k
                                                    1.447
OHXa + HSO3- = HSO3Xa + OH-
                                           ; log k
                                                    1.431
OHXa + BrO3- = BrO3Xa + OH-
                                           ; log k
                                                    1.431
OHXa + NO2 - = NO2Xa
                               + OH-
                                           ; log k
                                                    1.380
OHXa + Cl-
            = ClXa
                               + OH-
                                                    1.342
                                          ; log k
OHXa + HCO3- = HCO3Xa + OH-
                                           ; log k
                                                     0.778
OHXa + IO3 - = IO3Xa
                               + OH-
                                          ; log k
                                                     0.740
OHXa + F-
              = FXa + OH-
                                                     0.204
                                           ; log k
RATES
H4IsaH
#Degradation rate for paper according to Glaus 2008
-start
20 if (m \le 0) then goto 200
35 k1 = 4.3E - 3/3600
44 kt = 4.3E-4/3600
55 kh = 1.6E - 7/3600
65 Gr = 3.5E-3
100 rate = (exp(-kh*sim time)*kt*kt*exp(-kt*sim time)*Gr*k1)/kt^2-kh*-
exp(-kh*sim time)*(((1-exp(-kt*sim time))*Gr*k1)/kt-1)
190 moles = rate*time*m
200 SAVE moles
-end
```

```
Fe, element
#carbonsteel
#Corrosion of carbon steel
-start
20 if (m <= 0) then goto 200
30 R = 1.64E - 03/365/24/3600
100 rate = R
180 moles = rate*time
190 REM moles = rate*time
200 SAVE moles
-end
Quartz, alpha
-start
10 dif temp = 1/TK - 1/298
20 pk w = 13.7 + 4700.4 * dif_temp
40 moles = (m/m0)^0.67 * 10^-pk w *(1 - SR("Quartz, alpha"))
50 save moles * time
-end
SOLUTION 0
pH 7.0 charge
-water 1.85
units mol/kgw
# Saline groundwater
#C
             1.48E-03
             1.50E-02
#Ca
#Cl
             9.87E-02
             5.12E-04
#K
             6.17E-03
#Mg
             6.53E-02
#Na
             3.64E-03
#S
#Si
             1.83E-04
#Temperate-periglacial
С
            4.92E-3
Ca
             1.25E-3
Cl
             5.36E-3
             1.28E-4
Κ
Mg
             4.94E-4
Na
             7.83E-3
S
             5.21E-4
Si
             2.00E-4
```

#Glacial	derived water
#C	6.40E-04
#Ca	2.96E-04
#Cl	8.19E-06
#K	1.65E-05
#Mg	1.04E-06
#Na	4.35E-06
#S	1.25E-05
#Si	2.13E-04

WALL

EQUILIBRIUM_P	HAS	SES 1				
csh_1.6		0	4.178860782			
portlandite		0	4.038316137			
ettringite		0	0.09369643			
СЗАН6		0	0.262350004			
hydrotalcite	0	0.037478572				
Hematite		0	0.196762503			
#SiO2		0	155.929599			
# Secondary phases						
Boehmite	0.	. 0	0.0			
Calcite	0.	. 0	0.0			
Chalcedony	0.	. 0	0.0			
csh_1.2			0.0			
csh_0.8			0.0	0.0		
Gibbsite	0.	. 0	0.0			
Gismondine	0.	. 0	0.0			
Goethite	0.	. 0	0.0			
Gyrolite	0.	. 0	0.0			
Kaolinite	0.	. 0	0.0			
Laumontite	0.	. 0	0.0			
magnetite	0.	. 0	0.0			
Prehnite	0.	. 0	0.0			
Straetlingite 0.0			0.0			
# Corrosion off gas						
02,g	0.	. 0	0.0			
H2,g	0.	. 0	0.0			

SOLUTION 1 -pH 7.0 charge -water 1.85 units mol/kgw Al 1.38E-05 C 8.25E-05 Ca 1.58E-03 Cl 0.00E+00

0.0

K 1.60E-01				
Mg 1.93E-09				
Na 6.82E-02				
S 2.81E-04				
Si 3.40E-05				
KINETICS 1				
Quartz,alpha				
-m 155.929599				
-m0 155.929599				
###### WASTE #	#############			
EQUILIBRIUM_PHA	ses 2			
#Concrete				
csh_1.6	0	5.20E+01		
portlandite	0	5.03E+01		
ettringite	0	1.17E+00		
СЗАН6	0	3.24E+00		
hydrotalcite 0	4.70E-01			
Hematite	0	2.48E+00		
#SiO2	0	2.01E+02		
#Metals				
Al,element	0.0		3.40E-01	
#Fe,element	0.0		8.22E+01	
<pre># Ion exchange :</pre>	resin, see EXCHA	ANGE		
# Secondary pha	ses			
Boehmite	0.0	0.0		
Calcite	0.0	0.0		
Chalcedony	0.0	0.0		
csh_1.2		0.0		0.0
csh_0.8		0.0	0.0	
Gibbsite	0.0	0.0		
Gismondine	0.0	0.0		
Goethite	0.0	0.0		
Gyrolite	0.0	0.0		
Kaolinite	0.0	0.0		
Laumontite	0.0	0.0		
magnetite	0.0	0.0		
Prehnite	0.0	0.0		
Straetlingite	0.0	0.0		
# Corrosion off	gas			
02,g	0.0	0.0		
H2,g	0.0	0.0		

SOLUTION 2 -pH 7.0 charge -water 1.85 units mol/kgw Al 9.66E-06 C 1.53E-03 Ca 1.48E-03 Cl 1.61E-03 K 1.12E-01 Mg 1.48E-04 Na 5.01E-02 S 3.53E-04 Si 8.38E-05 EXCHANGE 2 #NaX 2.88E+00 #ClXa 1.44E+00 #HX 2.88E+00 #OHXa 1.44E+00 KINETICS 2 #H4ISAH #-m 0.135642796 #−m0 0.135642796 #Fe,element 8.22E+01 #-m #-m0 8.22E+01 Quartz, alpha -m 2.01E+02 -m0 2.01E+02 USER GRAPH -headings Time sim no step no pH H4IsaH Steel Portlandite CSH 1.6 CSH 1.2 CSH 0.8 -initial solutions true -connect simulations true -plot concentration vs time -axis scale y axis 7 14 1 -start 10 graph_x sim_time/3600/24/365 20 REM graph y time/3600/24/365 30 graph y sim no 40 graph y step no 50 graph y -la("H+") 60 graph sy KIN("H4IsaH") 65 graph sy KIN("Fe, element") 70 graph sy equi("Portlandite")

```
80 graph sy equi("CSH 1.6")
90 graph sy equi("CSH 1.2")
100 graph sy equi("CSH 0.8")
-end
TRANSPORT # 0- 2000 AD
-cells 2
                             # 1 = Wall, 2 = Waste
-punch cells 1
-lengths 1.0 10.0
-dispersivities 0.00
                 # 0 pore water exchanges during 0 - 2 000 AD
-shifts 0
-flow direction forward
-time step 6.1932E+13
-boundary conditions flux flux
-diffusion coefficient 0.3e-9
END
TRANSPORT # 2000- 3000 AD
-cells 2
-punch cells 1 # 1 = Wall, 2 = Waste
-lengths 1.0 10.0
-dispersivities 0.00 # 0.002
-shifts 0
            # 0 pore water exchanges during 2 000 - 3 000 AD
-flow direction forward
-time step 4.0814E+11
-boundary conditions flux flux
-diffusion coefficient 0.3e-9
END
TRANSPORT # > 3000 AD
-cells 2
                 # 1 = Wall, 2 = Waste
-punch cells 1
-shifts 15
                  # 15 pore water exchanges during 3 000 - 100 000 AD
-flow direction forward
-time step 2.0557E+11
```

```
END
```